மதுரை மாவட்டம் மருமன் எண்: 8903 .

ஸ்ரீ

102

மதுரை மாவட்டம் மருக்கண் எண்: 8903

****************************

[illegible]

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட

மாதம் டிசம்பர் வருடம் 1983

செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த

மாதம் டிசம்பர் வருடம் 1983

ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

****************************

8903
30033.

# Index for 1836. (2)

25

1836 | | Page
--- | --- | ---
1st January. | Forwarding Boards Proceedings and Orders of Government regarding the Boundary Dispute between Ramnad and Yettiapooram and resolving that the sequestration of the disputed land be made by the Collector of Madura. Re. | 1
7th Ditto. | Sanctioning disbursements of Rupees 1000 from the Surplus funds of the Meenatchee Pagoda for the repairs of the Vijay Mundapom | 13
7th Ditto. | Directing the Entry of Calcined Magnesia in the Tariff at 17-8. per Candy and Crude Magnesia at 9-15-8 | 15
17th Ditto | Regarding the Conversion of Cully and Shoolly fanams in the Ramnad Zemindary | 19
11th Ditto | Directing a particular inquiry whether Motherpha has or has not been Collected by the Sheva-gunga Zemindar. Re. | 23
14th Ditto | Forwarding Petitions bearing Endorsements | 27
18th Ditto | Desiring Mungalasawmy Ummal be informed that her claim to the Village of Aunyoor must be adjusted between her & the Zemindar of Ramnad. Re. | 29
18th Ditto | Requesting that in all cases for reference or report to Government a Duplicate on half paper may accompany it for Convenience of transmission | 33
25th Ditto | Course to be pursued by Revenue Officers when suspicion attaches to Packages of Military Stores in transit | 37
4th Ditto | Calling for information relative to the operation of Regulation II of 1819 | 45
1st Ditto | Requesting opinion as to the practicability and expediency of adopting the Standard of Weights and Measures of Captain Jervis of the Bengal Engineers. Re. | 49
Ditto | Sanctioning an allowance of Sixty Rupees per Mensem out of the Pension enjoyed by the late Ramasawmy...for the support of Re. | 

of his family ............ 59

th January .. Register of Petitions for October ............ 63

th Ditto .. Forwarding Copies of Papers relative to Certain Claims
# 4 R. on Yeomiah No. 66 ............ 67

th Ditto .. Enquiring what would be the financial result of giving up
R. all Pilgrim Taxes Offerings at Pagodas and other such
# 24 like sources of Revenue ............ 93

th Ditto .. Granting Authority for the payment to Abdulla Khan of
# 5 R. 1/5th Share of the Yeomiah Allowance No. 24 ............ 100

th Ditto .. Notifying great satisfaction from Mr. Goodwyn's (Hd. Asst. Collr.) intel-
ligent Report upon Cotton and Tobacco ............ 103

th Ditto .. Declining to admit the Claim of Jungama Naig to succeed to
7 R. the Zemindary of Errachicka naickenoor & directing registry of
the property in names of the Widows of the deceased ... not found ... 105

th February .. Directing a Margin of full half a page may be left in each
Duplicate for the Boards remarks in reference to
Circular of 18th January ............ 107

th Ditto .. Authority for writing off the public money stolen
from the House of the Salt Sumpordy at Morrcolum ............ 109

th Ditto .. Calling for Statement shewing the wastage of Salt from
August to December 1835 ............ 113

th Ditto .. Transmitting Sketch Drafts of a Regulation for realizing
the Revenue in unsettled Districts ............ 117

th Ditto .. Sanctioning Certain Small Exchanges of Waste land ............ 119

5th Ditto .. Forwarding Petitions bearing endorsement ............ 121

9th Ditto .. Sanctioning 10 pr. Cent Commission to the heir of Baulamoorm-
# 10 R. doo Mootoo Ramasawmy Naick. Sookampetty Pollegar ... not found ... 123

2d Ditto .. Order of the Board that the Head Sherishtadar have the Controul
over every Department of the Revenue Cutcherry & of Course including Stamps ............ 125

2d Ditto .. Declining to interfere in the Case of the Widows of the former
# 11 R. Zemindar of Shevagungah ............ 131

d Ditto .. Calling for a Statement of the Number of Shroffs employed
at the Collector's treasury

Ditto .. Regarding insubordination of Mr. Thomas. Collectors after a
final order must not delay obedience even tho' they
should think a further appeal to Govent. necessary ............ 13

1836 (30) Page

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 9 February | R. Relative to the Collection of the Revenue from Zemindars ... 27 | 143 |
| 29th Ditto | Sanctioning Batta paid to prisoners in the Police Department for Fusly 1244 | 151 |
| 29th Ditto | R. Register of Petitions for November | 153 |
| 12 3d March | Transmitting draft of a Regulation for Modifying the Rules for Collecting the duties on Betel and Tobacco | 157. |
| 7th Ditto | Enquiring whether the Military Guard over the Salt treasury at Ramnad may not be dispensed with | 161 |
| 7th Ditto | Forwarding Petitions bearing Endorsement | 165 |
| 10th Ditto | Sanctioning Contingent Charges from 1st October to 31st Decr 1835 | 167. |
| 14th Ditto | Again Calling for information relative to the operation of Regulation II of 1819 | 169 |
| 17th Ditto | Proceedings of the Board on the report of the Extra Sources of Revenue for Fusly 1244 | 171 |
| 17th & 22d Do | Relative to treasure Escorts | 183. |
| 15th Ditto | Sanctioning charges incurred on account of the Settlement for Fusly 1245 | 189 |
| 23d Ditto | Approving Continued requisitions on a Military Escort for the Salt Revenue remittances from Ramnad | 194 |
| 17th Ditto | R. Declining Sanction of appropriation of land for support of two Choultries at Yamoo Kalapoorani & Chittenputty because undertaken without previous permission | 196. |
| 13 24th Ditto | Authority for writing off the wastage of Salt amounting to Rupees 461. 7. 7. from August to December 1835 | 200. |
| | | 204 |
| 24th Ditto | Forwarding 8000 Salt Rowanahs | 206. |
| 24th Ditto | Endorsements to Petitions No 64 & 59 of 1836 | |
| 24th Ditto | Prohibiting Sequestration of any part of the Shevagunga Zemindary during the Current Fusly | 210. |
| 24th Ditto | R. Forwarding a Despatch from the Court of Directors & requiring a report as to the management of the Ramnad Zemindary in reference to instructions of 15th October 1835 | 214 |
| 14 26th Ditto | Sanctioning repayment of Rupees 10 " 2 to the Ryots of the Shembacoody Village being an over Collection | 222 |
| | | 226. |
| 28th Ditto | Annexing Form of Reports of Attachment | |
| 31st Ditto | Requiring further information prior to Sanctioning appropriation of the proceeds of the Village of Vellalaputty for the ... or Support of the Samianelloor Choultree agreeably | |
| 15 | | |

1836 | | Page
---|---|---
| grant by an ancestor of the Poligar of Valiaputty | 230
March | Notifying Mr Heath's obligation to pay a rent or Royalty to Government for every ton of Pig Iron | 236
Ditto | Forwarding Register of Petitions for December | 240
Ditto | Proceedings on the Settlement of the land revenue for Fusly 1244 | 244
April | Prohibition to act upon Certain Suggestions of the Civil Auditor until further instructions; but to report only | 268
Ditto | Deferring Consideration of the propriety of appropriations of land for the support of new Choultries at Yemoo Kalapoorams & Chittoorputty until reply of the Supreme Government | 272
Ditto | Extending to assistant Collectors having separate charges the indulgence granted to Sub Collectors to draw extra tent allowance when they provide accommodation for their Establishment | 276
Ditto | Directing exemption from duty on Cotton wool shipped at the subordinate ports | 280
Ditto | Authority for writing off the balance against the Mambaray Estate amounting to Rs 4725-11-1 | 284
Ditto | Regarding Petition from Lungamalkaich claiming to be put in possession of the Zemindary of Errachickanaickinoor | 288
Ditto | Directing reduction of the valuation of Indigo in the Tariff to the wholesale price by the Collector of Madras in common with Collectors in the provinces | 292
Ditto | Directing the usual Invitation for the Sayer Rent | 296
Ditto | Forwarding Petitions bearing endorsements | 300
Ditto | Permitting continuance of a Military Guard over the Salt Treasury | 302
Ditto | Rejecting claim of Ahmed Ali and also of Meer Ahmed Ebrim and Mahomed Ali in Yeomiah No 66 | 304
Ditto | Forms of Statement for the Revenue and charges of the Hindoo and Mahomedan Religious Establishment | 306
Ditto | Minutes of Consultation on the Extra Sources of revenue for Fusly 1244 | 318
Ditto | Calling for Statement of Transit and Town duties from Fusly 1240 to 1244 | 322

(Marginal annotations: "Re" beside several entries; "not found" beside entries at 288 and 306.)

1836 (4) 3 Page

9th May. Authority for writing off the irrecoverable balances of Sayer & Abkary from Fusly 1237 to 1243 ... 326.

28th April. Desiring the Sub Collector's report on the Standard weights & measures be submitted thro the Collector's Office ... 332

28th Ditto Requesting arrangement for the Settlement of the Abkary revenues for Fusly 1245 ... 334

2d. May R Register of Petitions for January ... 336.

2d. Ditto Exempting from transit Duty Cast Iron the produce of Mr. Heaths foundery in Port Novo ... 340

2d. Ditto Form's of Statements of Extra Charges ... 342

3d. Ditto R Minutes of Consultation on the Settlement of the land revenue for Fusly 1244 ... 354

5th. Ditto Sanctioning arrangements for modifying and Equalizing the Allowances of Head Assistant Collectors, Collectors and Principal Collectors ... 362

9th. Ditto R Calling for Copy of letter to the Board relative to the Claims of Moottiah Pillay & the Pundaurum of Ramaisweram ... 370.

16th. Ditto Authority for writing off the wastage of one Garce and 44 Mercals of Salt from January to March 1836 ... 372

16 Ditto Calling for Statement of the place and residence of the Prinl. Collector and Subordinates for the quarter ending 31st. March according to Form ... 374

16th. Ditto Calling for return of Tasseldars ... 380.

17th. Ditto Sanction for writing off from the Accounts 30 Rups. an old Balance due from Verapa Naik an Cowle no longer in force; & from an impoverished aged & dismissed Nattanker ... 384

19th. Ditto Sanctioning advance for Cultivation for Fusly 1246 ... 386.

19th. Ditto Transmitting remarks of the Board and orders of Govt. on the irrecoverable Balances of Sayer and Abkary from Fusly 1237 to 1243 ... 39[illegible]

19th. Ditto Calling for further Explanation on the Claims of the Zemindar of Shevagunga for remission of the Moturpha tax ... 39[illegible]

(1836)

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| May | Desiring that in all cases of property being tendered in security for Sayer rents its freedom from Mortgages be ascertained | 400 |
| Ditto | Forwarding Petitions bearing Endorsements | 404 |
| Ditto | Postponing the date of Sale of land in a proclamation proposed | 405 |
| Ditto | Directing the Medical Officers of the Zillah to be furnished with a Nominal list of Medical Service Yeomiahs | 406 |
| th Ditto | Calling for Sentiments upon the Measure and on the best Means of introducing the Moturpha tax | 414 |
| th Ditto | Notifying that the Governor General does not wish for any material change in the existing course of Sayer Transit Duties of the Madras Presidency | 418 |
| th Ditto | Authority for devoting the Revenues of the Village of Vellaulapatty for the Construction and Endowment of a Choultry at Samianelloor in Madaualum | 424 |
| th Ditto | Forwarding Register of Petitions for February | 430 |
| th Ditto | Opinion that neither of the two widows of Thavarom alluded to in Petition No. 32 of 1836 should be put in possession of the land whose claims appeared preferable | 438 |
| th Ditto | Form for Quantity Statements of Contingent charges | 440 |
| June | Enquiring what quantity of Bark and Quinine might be disposed of in the District | 446 |
| th Ditto | Proceedings on the Settlement of Land revenue for Fusly 1244 | 450 |
| th Ditto | Sanctioning Contingent charges from 1st January to 31st March | 454 |
| th Ditto | Prohibiting Copies of Official Documents being given to any one without the previous Sanction of Government | 456 |
| th Ditto | Instructions to reduce Certain Charges in the Revenue & Magisterial Establishments & rules for the Statements | 460 |
| th Ditto | Enquiring if any allowance is granted for the Carriage of Duftirs of Tahsildars during their tour in the Talooks | 471 |

5

15th June. Regarding the Collection of Freight out ports discontinuance of Masters Attendants & Calling for report on the Subject 4

16th Ditto. Form of Statement shewing number of days the Collector and Subordinate officers have passed at their respective Stations & on tours of inspection ... 4

16th Ditto. Forwarding Petitions bearing Endorsement ... 4

16th Ditto. Stating that a Mahratta Memo: alluded to in letter of the 11th Instant had not been received ... 49

18th Ditto. Postponing decision on the Claims of Abdoolrajak till inspection of the original Sunnud ... 49

21st Ditto. Queries regarding the Municipal Improvements in populous Towns throughout India ... 499

20th Ditto. Directing submission with the Settlement report of a Statement shewing the total Cultivable land in each Talook distinguishing those occupied from the waste ... 507.

23d Ditto. Directing the Periodical Reports upon the Official Conduct and qualifications of the Covenanted Civil Servants to be submitted punctually on the 1st January and 1st July ... 511.

23d Ditto. Directing Collectors of Maritime Districts to report upon the best means of improving the Commercial Intercourse between Ceylon and the Continent of India ... 519.

1st July. Authorizing disbursement of Rupees 310. 14 for the repairs of the Teroochani Pagoda ... 523

27th June. Notifying the discontinuance of the interchange of monthly lists of Port Clearances with the several Collectors of His Majesty's Customs in Ceylon as unnecessary ... 527.

27th Ditto. Register of Petitions for March ... 531.

27th Ditto. Sanctioning Charges for a Pundal at Melur during the Settlement of Fusly 1245 ... 537.

27th Ditto. Directing Communication to the Medical Board of the quantity of Bark and Quinine required for Sale ...

27th Ditto. Directing immediate introduction of the Veesabuddy tax ... 54

1836

[..]th June. Accepting offers for renting the Sayer and Abkary farms for Fusly 1246.

[..]th July Authority for the repayment of a fine awarded by Sub Collector in Case of Gomastu Gopaul Keetna Row reversed in appeal by the Collector } 5

[..]th Do. Calling for further information on the Subject of the Madura Embezzlements

[..]th Do. Form of a Statement of Charges incurred for Transporting Treasure from the talooks

[..]th Do. Calling for a descriptive Roll of the Sons of Beema row late Head Sheristadar in North Arcot }

[..]th Do. Calling for information on the Claims of certain Temples to Boats in the Pearl Fisheries of Ceylon

36

[..]d June Granting a Pension of Rupees 4200 per Annum to the four sons of Beemarow

[..]th July. Forwarding Petitions bearing Endorsements

[..]th Do. Register of Petitions for April

[..]th Do. Authorizing reimposition of the Moturpha tax

[..]th Do. Receipt for duplicate setts of Weights and Measures forwarded to the Board

[..]th Do. Urging careful preparation of Settlement Reports and punctual transmission

[..]th Do. Sanctioning Yeomiah to Abdul Reza No. 72

38

[..]th Do. Calling for Report on the outrage Committed on the Tinnevelly and Madura border

18th Do. Regarding furnishing Copies of official Papers

18th Do. Calling for report on the balances outstanding at the Commencement of Fusly 1240

18th Do. Approving the prohibition to Employ Cash Keepers in the Management of the private Accounts of Gentlemen drawing their salaries from the Treasuries

18th Do. Inexpediency of bringing the rules under which the allowances of Covenanted Servants have been recently fixed under renewed discussion

[..]st Do. No objection to the Exportation of Salt to the Mauritius

[..] Returning original Sunnud in Case of Yeomiah No. 72 Confirmed to Abdul Raza on the 18th July } 841.

1836

(6)

| | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| 28th July | Declining interference in the Case of Petitioner No. 446 of 1836 | 642 |
| 28th Do. | Discontinuing the practice of Copying on Europe paper the Account particular which accompany the Statement of Charges Annually | 644 |
| 25th Do. | R. Confirming the appointment of Ganapaty Sumbeyan to the Superintendance of the Davastanums of Peranmalay &ca. *not found* | 646 |
| 25th Do. | Enquiring whether any and what accommodation can be procured Either at Tonitoray or in the Island of Ramaswaram for a Detachment of Sappers and Miners and a party of Convicts to be employed at Paumbon | 650 |
| 28th Do. | Requesting discontinuance of the practice of using local native terms in public English Correspondence | 654 |
| 28th Do. | Notification that public Officers shall be left to bear the Consequence of Suits at Law instituted against them on account of their Official Acts | 65[illegible] |
| 1st August | Publication of advertisement for the Sale of Land | 662 |
| 5th Do. | Resolving to reduce one of the Principal Collectorships | 664 |
| 8th Do. | R. Correspondence ~~regard~~ on applications for Grants of land for Endowment of Choultries | 668 |
| 11th August | R. Calling for fresh Statements of Hindoo and Mahomedan religious Establishment *not found* | 716 |
| 8th Do. | Correspondence on the adjustment of the Accounts of the Madura Treasury Embezzlements | 674 |
| 11th Do. | R. Sanctioning payment of Rs. 11840-5-. on account of the restriction from Collection of the Moturpha tax since fusly 1215 and an annual reduction of Peshcush of 3861. 5. 6 to the Shivagunga Zemindary *not found* | 724 |
| 13th Do. | Ordering Certain Substitution of words in the annual statements for Cultivation and Manufacture of Salt | 740 |
| 15th Do. | Calling for Estimate of Extra Charges proposed for fusly 1246 | 744 |
| 13th Do. | Regarding the employment of 100 Convicts at Paumbon in the Island of Ramaswaram | 741 |

36 | | Page
--- | --- | ---
August | Regarding giving Copies of official Papers | 750
Do. | Desiring exclusion of all native terms in official Correspondence | 770
Do. | Authorizing distribution of the proceeds of Smuggled Goods | 780
Do. | Offering rewards of Rs 100 for destruction of a particular Tiger at Allaghur Covil | 782
Do. | Forwarding Petitions bearing Endorsement | 784
Do. | Permitting the Killedars Son (Petitioner in No. 499 of 1836) to hold his Garden free from assessment agreeable to his Purwanah and long Custom | 786
Do. | Directing Report when it seem proper to restore the Management of the Ramasweram Davastanum to the Pundarum | 790
Do. | Directing Transfer of Mr. Clarkes pension from the Tinnevelly to the Madura Treasury | 794
Do. | Confirming Settlement of the Sayer and Abkary farms for Fusly 1246 | 800
Do. | Prohibiting assumption of the Shevagunga Zemindary until final instructions from Government | 802
Do. | Returning Purwanah alluded to in letter of 22d. August Supra | 806
Do. | Calling for further report on Petition No. 1094 of 1836 | 808
Do. | Register of Petitions for May | 812
Do. | Forwarding 25 Copies of Sea passes | 816
Do. | Changing designation of Inspector General of Civil Estimates to that of Secretary to the Board of Revenue in the Department of Public Works | 820
Sept. | Authorizing the Management of the Shevagungah Davastanum according to the Rules proposed for the Ramasweram Davastanum | 826
Do. | Directing Entry of revenues allotted to the servants of the Meenatchee Pagoda in the Statement of the Reports on Hindoo and Mohomedan Religious Institutions | 830

1836 (7) Page

8th Sept. Sanctioning contingent charges incurred in the 4th Quarter of Fasly 1245 ... 834.

8th Do. Enquiring if there are any Grounds for indulgence to defaulters Viz. 6 land holders in Madacolum and 1 Betel renter in Permagude ... 840.

8th Do. Enquiring of the name of the last incumbent in Yeomiah No. 67 is Rumjan Shaw or Ryman Shaw ... 844.

12th Do. Authorizing erection of a Chuttrum at Davadanapatty by Annaujee Row with promise of lands for its support 848.

12th Do. Objections to a proposed Regulation for securing Government from Loss in acceptance of real property in security of rents ... 850.

19th Do. Forwarding Petitions bearing Endorsements ... 858.

19th Do. Ordering accomodation at Paumbon by the middle of January for 50 Convicts ... 860.

19th Do. Delaying orders on the Subject of Succession to the Guntamanaickenoor Estate till receipt of a promised Report 876.

22d Do. Calling for Statement of Inland Districts or places for the supply of which rowanahs have been issued for the transport of Salt from this District & the route by which conveyed 878.

23d Do. Authority for writing off the wastage of 3 Garces 143 Mercals and 6 measures of Salt from April to July 1836 ... 882.

22d Do. Calling for Report on the State of the Records ... 886.

26th Do. Calling for further information regarding the claims of certain Temples to Boats in the Ceylon Pearl fishery ... 890.

26th Do. Forwarding 52 forms of Demand Collection and ... 892

Balance Statements ... 894

26th Do. Do. Do. Duplicate ... 896.

26th Do. Do. 4 Copies Do. Do. by Tappal ... 898.

29th Do. Urging unanimity and temper on the part of European Officers Civil or Military }

1836 | | Page
--- | --- | ---
October | Sanctioning suspension of Vencatanaradiengar and Annaujee Row Tasildars of Thiroomungolum & Mellore | 902
2d Do. | Authorizing the Sale of the property of Ninny Meah Jank fish Renter of Sholavundam reported in letter of the 21st September 1836 | 904
1st Do. | Renewal of Yeomeah No. 67 to son of deceased as hereditary | 907
1st Do. | Reminding the Collector that the Statement of Demand Collection and Balance had not been received for August | 910
1st Do. | Enquiring if any advantage is anticipated from further detention in Jail of Sewa Soobramoneya pillay Sayer renter of Pullymadum | 912
1st Do. | Orders on Petition No. 1094 & 1713 of 1836 | 914
7th Do. | Prohibiting Payment of Pension on arrear for more than 6 months | 918
10th Do. | Returning an original Mahratta memorandum of the revenue of Pagodas & Kuttalays forwarded by Mr. Silver on 5th July after being omitted in the Collector's letter of 5th June 1836 | 922
17th Do. | Register of Petitions for June | 924
20th Do. | Authorizing proposed arrangement for the manage-ment of the Sayer and Abkary rent of Thenkaray Talook | 934
21st Do. | Authorizing payment of arrears of Mohturpha to the Shevagunga Zemindar | 936
21st Do. | Forwarding Petitions bearing Endorsement | 940
21st Do. | Calling for special report on the State of the season | 942
24th Do. | Directing do. abstracts of all letters Containing more than two Parahs to be Endorsed on them | 944
24th Do. | Proceedings on the Extra Sources of Revenue for July 1245 | 948
24th Do. | Do. on the Settlement of land revenue for July 1245 | 960
24th Do. | Sanctioning Rupees 3019 for the repairs of the Teroopa-rancoondum Davastanum | 992
24th Do. | Desiring do. any Defficiency in Current Collections do. be Explained in the Monthly Statement of Demand Collection and Balance | 994
Do. | Forwarding a Pamphlet of hints on Medical Topography & requesting Collectors to furnish any information in their power to Asst. Surgn. |
Do. | Sanctioning refund of 5-5 duty collected in Excess of the Tariff on Sugar candy and Soft Sugar | 1004

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 25th October | Sanction for writing off the Balance against the Moumbaray Estate in Dindigul | 1008 |
| 27th Do. | Requiring the Quantity of Salt Sold for home Consumption be distinguished from that Sold for Export in future Reports | 1012 |
| 31st Do. | Enquiring what disbursements have been made at the Collectors discretion from Pagoda funds | 1016 |
| 3 November | Enquiring if Poppies are Cultivated in the District | 1018 |
| 8th Do. | Calling attention to the importance of restraining Inhabitants from emigrating by offering them Employment in their Villages | 1022 |
| 7th Do. | Forwarding Petitions bearing endorsements | 1030 |
| 8th Do. | Government Orders on the Estimate for Extra Charges proposed for Fusly 1246 | 1032 |
| 10th Do. | Register of Petitions for July | 1036 |
| 14th Do. | Enquiring what Rowanahs are expected to accompany Goods from Manar imported into Ramaiswaram | 1044 |
| 14th Do. | Sanctioning Extra tent Allowance for Fusly 1245 | 1048 |
| 14th Do. | Calling again for Report on the State of the Season | 1052 |
| 14th Do. | Granting Authority for writing off the Sum due by the Betel renter of Sholavundan as irrecoverable | 1054 |
| 2?th Do. | Sanctioning Continuance of the Allowance enjoyed by the late Zemindar of Nellacottah to his Brother Teroovangada Gopaula Naicken | 1058 |
| 17th Do. | Calling for opinion as to the best Method of introducing the Cultivation of Sugar Cane in dry lands | 1062 |
| 21st Do. | Discontinuing Reports of the State of the Season | 1079 |
| 21st Do. | Calling for Cause of fluctuation in the Salt Sales at the close of the Current and every Successive Fusly | 1081 |
| 2?d Do. | Minutes of Consultation on the Extra Sources of | |

Revenue for Fusly 1245 — 1097

[…]th Nov. — Minute of Consultation on the settlement of land revenue for Fusly 1245 — 1097

[…] D° — Publication of advertisement for sale of lands for arrears of land revenue to amount of 1237.4.7 in Madacollum Talook — 1099

[…] December — Authorizing disbursement of 5 Rupees per mensem for a school master from the funds of Meenatchee Pagodah — 1101

[…] D° — Sanctioning contingent charges in the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1246 — 1105

[…]th D° — D° charges incurred without estimate for Fusly 1245 — 1107

[…]th D° — D° Confiscation of Smuggled Goods seized in August — 1125

[…]th D° — Approving decision passed in the case referred to in letter dated 30th November 1835 on Petition No. 1824 of 1835 — 1129

[…]3th D° — Regarding claims for remission for failure of Dry Crops

15th D° — Calling for statements of Collections of Sea and land Customs after a given form from Fusly 1240 to 45 — 1135

15th D° — Forwarding Petitions bearing endorsements — 1141

[…]th D° — Forwarding 3 Packets of Cotton Seed — 1143

[…]th D° — Opinion that with the exception of the Curnumship the Provisions of Regulation VI of 1831 are applicable to all Village offices in Zemindarees — 1147

[…]d D° — Authorizing compliance with applications from Ryots for remission for the failure of Crops in dry land — 1149

22d D° — Directing transmission of separate Abstracts of Pensions after another form with detailed lists of allowances drawn — 1157

[…]th D° — Register of Petitions for August — 1165

26th D° — Enquiring if there are any Lungerkhana Institutions in the District — 1169

26th D° — Sanctioning disbursement of 500 Rupees for the repair of Brass Mercals for measuring Salt — 1183

29th D° — Restricting the duty on Exportation of Raw Hides to any foreign Settlements to an advalorem duty of 5 per Cent the same as an Exportation on foreign bottoms — 1187

[…]th D° — Enquiring if any retrenchment still exists

Wists on account of advances to Public Servants ... 1191.

31st. Do. Sanctioning the advance of Rupees 2000 to the Ryots of Vuttanum and Manacoody Salt Pans ... 1195

31st. Do. Forwarding Minutes of Consultation directing introduction of Jack and Bread fruit trees; the seeds for which would be supplied from Ceylon ... 1197

39

1836

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] of the Letter [illegible] 55!

(9) Revenue Depart.

No. 621

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

Para 1- I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th Instant requiring the Board to submit their sentiments on the subject of the letter from Mr. Blackburne Principal Collector of Madura dated the 7th Instant therewith transmitted.

2 Mr. Blackburne reports that in the last days of October a serious

serious riot occurred on a disputed boundary between the Zemindaries of Ramnad and Yettiapoorum in which lives were lost. The parties guilty of the violence he states have been named by the complainants on the opposite sides but none of them has been secured. He appears to think that they have been harboured and concealed by the Zemindars respectively, or at least that they have it in their power to produce them and therefore proposes that if the accused be not given up in six weeks from the receipt of the orders of Government, the Zemindaries

shall

(10) 3.

41

shall be sequestered.

3 By Section XV Regulation XXV of 1802 Zemindars are required to aid the Magistrate in apprehending offenders and to give notice to him of offenders who may be found or seek refuge in their Zemindaries but the Board are not aware that penalties are specially provided for the breach of this obligation in any Regulations now in force. Such penalties were provided in Regulation XIII of 1809 in cases of Gang Robbery, but that Regulation was rescinded by Regulation IX of 1816. In the Bengal Code there are specific provisions for the punishment of Zemindars

harbouring

harbouring offenders or neglecting to give information respecting them to the Magistrates. Harington's Ana P 401 & Seq. Vol 1 Edition of 1821

4 If a zemindar however shall "resist" the process of a Magistrate his zemindary is liable to sequestration and forfeiture by Section XVIII Regulation IX of 1816 of the Madras Code and the Board apprehend that zemindars harbouring and concealing accused persons, and affording them supplies or in any way actively aiding them to evade the pursuit of justice after they have been proclaimed by the Magistrate, and warrants have been issued for their apprehension, particularly

when

when the Zemindars have been called upon directly by the Magistrates to act in the executions of the warrants, do by such overt acts incur the penalties for resistance equally as if they had by force saved them from arrest or rescued them from Custody. And they suppose that a Zemindar called upon with reference to Section XV Regulation XXV of 1802 merely refusing to give assistance in the apprehension of an offender when it was in his power to do so, or withholding information of his place of refuge when it was known to him would be guilty of a misdemeanor and punishable accordingly. But

it

it does not appear that the Magistrate of Madura has evidence of overt acts of the above description having been committed by the Zemindars of Ramnad and Yettiahpoorum Under present circumstances therefore the Board think it would not be legal to adopt the measure suggested by Mr Blackburne; particularly with respect to the Zemindary of Ramnad which is the property of Minors, the person referred to as Zemindar being the Manager on their behalf.

5 But the Board deem it of the first importance with a view to put an end to these violent

violent feuds to fix responsibility on the chiefs and they therefore think it advisable for the Magistrate to call upon the Zemindars of Ramnad and Yettiapooram respectively with reference to Section XV Regulation XXV of 1802 and the corresponding clause of the permanent Sunnud to exert themselves actively to secure the persons accused in this case, and to warn them generally that they will be held answerable for any remissness or connivance on their part by which they may be suffered to escape, and particularly that harbouring or concealing the offenders or affording them supplies or means

to

to evade pursuit, will be deemed a virtual resistance to the process of the Magistrate involving the consequences described in Section XVIII Regulation IX of 1816. As the Zemindar of Ramnad is understood to have the Commission of Head of Police within the Zemindary a more peremptory requisition may be made to him with reference to his duties in that Capacity.

6. The Principal Collector and Magistrate should follow up his requisition and warning to the Zemindars by a searching inquisition directed particularly to discover what part they have

-really

really taken in aiding the escape of the parties against whom his process has issued and to obtain such proof as will enable him to prosecute them with success if they have acted in such a manner as may be construed into a resistance of the process. This mode of proceeding should be followed likewise in Tinnevelly. It will shew that the authorities are determined to hold the Zemindars accountable if they do not cooperate with them, and if they have hitherto been merely neutral or indifferent it may induce them to act decidedly as their duty requires.

7 The lands in dispute

, the

the Board think should be immedi-
ately sequestered and the proceeds,
after defraying the charges of Cultiva-
tion and management, held
in deposit to meet any further
expenses which it may be necessary
to incur in pursuing the search
after the accused or in keeping
the peace for which if the Magis-
trate thinks it requisite extra
peons should be employed.

Rev. Bd. Office
Fort St George
21st Decr 1835.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Secretary

Extract from the Minutes of
Cons 31st December 1835.

The Governor in Council
approves of the sentiments expressed

in the foregoing letter and requests the Board of Revenue will be pleased to your instructions accordingly to the Principal Collector and Magistrate of Madura and to the Collector and Magistrate of Tinnevelly.

(Signed) H^y Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered that a copy of the Boards proceedings dated the 21^st December 1835 and of the orders of Government thereon dated the 31^st of the same month be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Madura and the Collector of Tinnevelly who will be pleased to act in concert in pursuance of the

the instructions therein communicated.

The Board resolve that the sequestration of the disputed land shall be made by the Principal Collector of Madura who will be pleased to adopt the necessary measures for the same.

Rev. Board office
Fort St George
4th January 1836.

[signature]
Secretary

Recd 11th Feb

Compd [signature]

A. Ans.? 28th June 1836

49 / (14) (15) 51

Circular

Revenue ~~General~~ Department

To

The Principal Collector of

Madura

Re.

~~Sir,~~

I am directed by the Board of Revenue ~~by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council~~ to transmit to you Copy of an Essay by Captain T. B. Jervis of the Engineers on the Standard of weights and Measures and to request you will favor them ~~Government~~ with your opinion as to the practicability and expediency of adopting it in the districts under your charge.—

This Standard is the seconds pendulum equal to 39.09785 inches English exactly two Indian cubits, 48 digits or 48 [illegible].—

2 You will observe that Captn. Jervis asserts its identity with that commercially acknowledged through-out India, and that he also contends that the adjustment of those weights and measures, which have the presumptive recommendation of Custom, familiar usage, or popular prejudice, by the proposed standard, would be extremely easy and simple, and might be accomplished without disturbing ~~any~~ subsisting law, right, or practice, which other alterations would necessarily affect.—

3 Captn. Jervis farther considers it as very problematical how far the substitution of the imperial Standards sanctioned by the British legislature in 1825 would be beneficial or acceptable to the Natives of India. He regards the British system, at present, as anomalous and complex, inasmuch as it contains two kinds of lineal measure, two kinds of Superficial measure, three kinds of Cubic measure,

two kinds of weights, and these so capriciously varied, that the Larger Pound (the avoirdupoise pound of 7000 grains Troy) has the smaller ounce, (437½ grains Troy); and the Smaller pound (the Troy pound of 5760 grains Troy) has the larger ounce, (of 480 grains Troy); and inasmuch also, as the Standards of linear measure and of weight are adjusted to an arbitrary local temperature, (62° Fahrenheit).

4 In order to enable the Governments of India, and the Hon'ble Court of Directors to take a Comprehensive and Correct view of the whole question, preparatory to the consideration of introducing the uniform Standard and System proposed by Captain Jervis, throughout British India, you will be pleased to forward with as little delay as practicable, a clear and accurate Statement of the various tables of weights and measures, agreeably to the annexed form, together with two sets of weights and measures, as set forth below, from each district, and from all the large towns in your Collectorate one set to be retained in this Country, the other to be transmitted to the Hon'ble Court, after they have been examined and reported on by Captain Jervis.

5 Should any observations occur to you as worthy of note, on a measure of so great and acknowledged importance to the efficiency of Justice, to the Credit of the British Government, and to the interests and rights of the people generally, it may be appended by way of remark to the Tabular Statement.

6 In transmitting these sets of weights and

measures,

measures the heads of Communities or casts in each district or large Commercial town might be called upon to furnish authenticated Specimens of such as have been long in use; for these however coarse or mis-shapen the manufacture may be, are nevertheless the true received weights and measures of the district, or towns, from whence they may be procured, and are therefore far preferable to new models, or counterparts of existing standards expressly made up for the occasion, although such models may be of the finest workmanship.—

7 As these Models and Statements will be laid before scientific persons in England, it would be advisable that very clear and strict instructions should be issued to the native local authorities to furnish neither more nor less than [illegible] purposes, that their statements should be written in a plain legible hand, and that each article should have a proper distinct label and wrapper.—

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most Obedient Servant,

[signature]

Dy Secretary to Government.

Rev.e B.d Office,
Fort St. George,
9th ~~December 1835~~
21st January 1836
Rec 14th Feb.y 36

Weights and measures required in each set, eleven in Number, from the several districts and large towns in each Collectorate.—

1st. The measuring rod for land, as a Kathee, guntha, or the like

2d. The measure for Cloth, Piece goods, &ca as a Cubit, guz, or the like.—

3d. The measure used by Masons, Carpenters, and other Artificers, as a gu[illegible] or the like

4th. The Dhurra, Pylee, or Pouchseeree, dry measure { for grain and [illegible] salt, if differen[t]

5th. The Seer Weight, used in weighing Silver, gold, and light articles.

6th. The Seer, or other such measure, for Oil, liquids, &ca

7th. The Massa, used in weighing drugs, metals &ca

8th. The Ruttee, and Massa, used by Jewellers, in weighing pearls [illegible] precious Stones.

9th. The Seer of gross weight, used in weighing Iron, Copper, and [illegible] goods.

10th. The Tank or lowest submultiple of gross weight.—

11th. A packet containing the number of provincial Copper Coin[s] constituting a Seer.

All these separately packed, and duly attested by the heads of Casts, or trades, with proper labels affixed, and separate wr[itten]

The original native returns to be sent together with the Englis[h] Tabular Statements.—

H Pottie

Dy Secretary to Government

Fo[illegible]

Collecto[illegible]

| Districts and large populous Commercial Towns | Aggregate Population according to the latest Census. | Table of Land Measures | Table of ordinary Linear Measure | Table of Measures for Grain &c. | Table of liquid Measures for Oil, Milk &c. | Table of light weights for Gold, Silver Metals, &c. | Table of Gross weights for ordinary Articles. | Number of [illegible] and Copper Coins to the [illegible] weight and in the Rupee | Number of [illegible] in a Rupee [illegible] | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Say for example Poona City | 10,3426 Souls. | The Kathee of 5 haths, and 5 palms. The length is equal to 112 Inches as shewn in the district and other measures sent, 6, 7 or more in Number. | 24 ungoolus = one tusoo 16 tusoos = 1 Cubit, 24 tusoos = 1 Guz the length of the Guz or measures used for Cloth, by Artificers, and the Merchants, is equal to about 34 inches, more or less. The Cubit itself 19 to 20 inches English | 4 Seers = 1 Pylee 16 Pylees = 1 Mun 20 Mun = 1 Khundee. Note, The Merchants sell by the Mun of 15½ Pylees, and buy by the Mun of 17 Pylees. The Government rental in kind is paid by the Mun of 16 Pylees | The Equal Measure of Capacity determined by the weight in some places, but generally by the Content. [illegible] Seers Mun [illegible] | 8 Goonj = 1 Massa; 12 Massas = 1 Tola, 28 or 24 Tolas = 1 Seer, 40 Seers = 1 Mun, 20 Mun = 1 Khundee | 8 Goonj = 1 Massa, 12 Massas = 1 Tola, 72 Tolas = 1 Seer, 40 Seers = 1 Mun, 20 Mun = 1 Khundee | 64 Pice, according to the description sent, called Shavrace Pysa. 80 Pisa to the Chuckree Rupee. | 11½ in the Chuckree Rupee. | |
| Poona District. | " | The same as the above, or different, as the case may be. | Ditto. | Ditto. | | | | | | |
| Jooneer. | " | | | | | | | | | |
| Indapoor. | " | | | | | | | | | |
| Jegooree Town. | " | | | | | | | | | |
| Sasoor Town. | " | | | | | | | | | |

Note. The above is merely given to show what is required.

(18) -67

Revenue Department

57

Re No.

To

The Principal Collector of

Madura

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 14th November last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying copies of papers relative to certain claims on Jeomiahs No. 66 as requested by you and to state that the letters from the Board dated 31st May 1803 and 30th December 1804 therein ca[...] alluded to are not to be found among the records of this Office

From the Coll. 4 July 1804
From the Coll. 9th November 1804

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant

[illegible]

Secretary

Revenue Boards Office

Fort St George

25th January 1830

Recd 31

[illegible]

(18) 69

To

Rev.

The President and Members of the

Board of Revenue

Gentlemen,

I have the honor to trans-
=mit Statement of the yeomedars in the
District of Madura shewing the amount
paid to each, agreeable to the orders of
your Board, conveyed to the late Collector in
your Secretary's letter under date 1st August
last, directing the full amount to be paid
to the Claimants from the 13th July 1801 to the
29th February 1804.

I have the honor to be
with great Respect &c &c
(Signed) G. Parish
Collector

Dindigul
[illegible]th July 1804.

Statement of the yomidars in the District of Madura Shewing the amount paid to each agreeable to the order of the Board of Revenue conveyed to the Collector under date 31st August 1803 directing the full amount of the yomiahs to be paid to the claimants from the 13th July 1801 to the 29th February 1804.

| No | Name of the Grantees | Relationship of the present Claimants to the Grantees | Names of the present Claimants | Daily allowance in money | Do Do from the 6th August 1801 to the 29th February 1804 being 938 days | yearly in Paddy | Its Value | From the 13th July 1801 to the 29th Feby 1804 being 2 years and 8 months in Paddy | Its Value | Total amount in Money and Paddy | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | C.Ch. f. C. | C.Ch. f. C. | Calls M. m. | C.Ch. f. C. | Calls M. m. | C.Ch. f. C. | C.Ch. f. C. | S.Pag. f. C. |
| 1 | Shaick [illegible] | Grand Child | Shaick Mahomed | " 2 24 | 234 5 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 234 5 " | 142 5 35 |
| | [illegible]ullah [illegible] Faeurdeen | Son | Mahomed Faeurdeem | " 1 " | 93 8 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 93 8 " | 56 38 14 3/4 |
| | [illegible] Emir[illegible] | | Original Incumbent | " 1 " | 93 8 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 93 8 " | 56 38 14 3/4 |
| | [illegible] | Son | Shaick Husain | " 1 " | 93 8 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 93 8 " | 56 38 14 3/4 |
| | [illegible] Mohideen to Shaick Husain | Ditto | Shaick Mahomed | " 2 36 | 257 9 24 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 257 9 24 | 156 15 " |
| | [illegible] Moodrus | Ditto | Sied Mahomed | " 1 " | 93 8 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 93 8 " | 56 38 14 3/4 |
| | [illegible] Emaum Beebee | Ditto | Abdul Cawder | " 1 " | 93 8 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 93 8 " | 56 38 14 3/4 |
| 10 | Shaw Faeurdeen | Nephew | Meer Faeurdeem | " 2 " | 187 6 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 187 6 " | 113 31 28 1/2 |
| 11 | Mullah Ahamed | Son | Budradeen | " 1 " | 93 8 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 93 8 " | 56 38 14 3/4 |
| 13 | Sied Husain | Son | Abdulcawder | " 1 " | 93 8 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 93 8 " | 56 38 14 3/4 |
| 14 | Shaick Abdul Caud[illegible] | Grand Child | Mahomed Emaum present Incumbent Nutted Beebee Wife of Saick Abdulcawder | " " 24 | 46 9 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 46 9 " | 28 19 7 |
| 16 | Shaick Abdul Caw[illegible] | " | Shaick Mahomed | " 1 " | 93 8 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 93 8 " | 56 38 14 3/4 |

Statement of the yomidars in the District of Madura Shewing the amount paid to each agreeable to the order of the Board of Revenue conveyed to the Collector under date 31st August 1803 directing the full amount of the yomiahs to be paid to the claimants from the 13th July 1801 to the 29th February 1804.

| No. | Names of the Grantees | Relationship of the present Claimants to the Grantees | Names of the present Claimants | Daily allowance in money (C.Chs f C) | Do. Do. from the 6th August 1801 to the 29th February 1804 being 938 days (C.Chs f C) | yearly in Paddy (Calls m m) | Its Value (C.Chs f C) | From the 13th July 1801 to the 29th Feby 1804 being 2 years and 8 months in Paddy (Calls m m) | Its Value (C.Chs f C) | Total amount in Money and Paddy (C.Chs f C) | (S.Pag f C) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Shaick [illegible] | Grand Child | Shaick Mohomed Alee | " 2 24 | 234 5 . | " " | " " | " " | " " | 234 5 . | 142 5 35½ |
| [illegible] | [illegible] Faurdeen | Son | Mahomed Facurdeen | " 1 " | 93 8 . | " " | " " | " " | " " | 93 8 " | 56 38 14¾ |
| [illegible] | [illegible] | | original Incumbent | " 1 " | 93 8 " | " " | " " | " " | " " | 93 8 " | 56 38 14¾ |
| [illegible] | [illegible] | Son | Shaick Hussain | " 1 " | 93 8 | " " | " " | " " | " " | 93 8 " | 56 38 14¾ |
| [illegible] | [illegible] ohdeen to Shaick [illegible] | Ditto | Shaick Mahomed | " 2 36 | 257 9 24 | " " | " " | " " | " " | 257 9 24 | 156 15 " |
| [illegible] | [illegible] Moodrus | Ditto | Sied Mahomed | " 1 " | 93 8 " | " " | " " | " " | " " | 93 8 " | 56 38 14¾ |
| [illegible] | [illegible] Beebee | Ditto | Abdul Cawder | " 1 " | 93 8 " | " " | " " | " " | " " | 93 8 " | 56 38 14¾ |
| 10 | Sha[illegible] Facurdeen | Nephew | Meer Facurdeen | " 2 " | 187 6 " | " " | " " | " " | " " | 187 6 " | 113 31 28½ |
| 11 | Mullah Ahamed | Son | Budradeen | " 1 " | 93 8 " | " " | " " | " " | " " | 93 8 " | 56 38 14¾ |
| 13 | Sied Hussain | Son | Abdulcawder | " 1 " | 93 8 " | " " | " " | " " | " " | 93 8 " | 56 38 14¾ |
| 14 | Shaick Abdul Cawder | Grand Child | Mahomed Emaum present Incumbent Natted Beebee Wife of Saick Abdulcawder | " " 24 | 46 9 " | " " | " " | " " | " " | 46 9 " | 28 19 7 |
| 16 | Shaick Abdul [illegible] | | Shaick Mahomed | " 1 " | 93 8 " | " " | " " | " " | " " | 93 8 . | 56 38 14¾ |

| Number | Names of the present Incumbents | Daily Allowance in Money C.Chs | F | C | In Money from 1st to 31st Augt. 1804 being 31 days C.Chs | F | C | Monthly In Paddy Quantity Cullom | M | M | Value C.Chs | F | C | Total Cully Chucrums C.Chs | F | C | or Star Pagodas at 16½ Cully fanams per Star Pagoda St.Ps | F | C | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 92 | Meer Abdul Caudar | „ | 1 | 12 | 3 | 8 | 36 | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | 3 | 8 | 36 | 2 | 14 | 50¾ | support of claims to the Yomiah for 8 persons one of whom is dead — |
| 93 | Seid Mahomed Moostah | „ | 1 | 12 | 3 | 8 | 36 | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | 3 | 8 | 36 | 2 | 14 | 50¾ | |
| 94 | Meer Hussain Taulahalum | „ | 1 | „ | 3 | 1 | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | 3 | 1 | „ | 1 | 36 | 72¾ | |
| 95 | Seid Mahomed | „ | 1 | „ | 3 | 1 | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | 3 | 1 | „ | 1 | 36 | 72¾ | |
| 96 | Facurdeen | „ | 1 | „ | 3 | 1 | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | 3 | 1 | „ | 1 | 36 | 72¾ | No. 96 — Has produced no Document in support of his claim but asserts that his name was inserted among the names of the other Incumbents in an Enyaet Namah from the Nabob to the Amildar Warthopundit authorizing to pay him one fanam per diem and that it was accordingly allowed to him — |
| 97 | Ramajosey | „ | 1 | „ | 3 | 1 | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | 3 | 1 | „ | 1 | 36 | 72¾ | 97 — Produced an Enyaet Namah from the Nabob's son Ammeer Woomoah in suport of his claim — |
| 98 | Kistnauchaury | „ | 2 | „ | 6 | 2 | „ | 6 | 8 | „ | 4 | „ | „ | 10 | 2 | „ | 6 | 7 | 50½ | 98 Do. dated 1181 fusli from His Highness the Nabob in support of his claim — |
| 100 | Sabaupatty Shastry | „ | 1 | „ | 3 | 1 | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | 3 | 1 | „ | 1 | 36 | 72¾ | 100 — Produced no Documents but asserts that the Yomeah was allowed since the time of the Gentoo Government — |
| 101 | Ramasawmy Iyengar | „ | 1 | „ | 3 | 1 | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | 3 | 1 | „ | 1 | 36 | 72¾ | 101 — Do. Do. Do. for Astrological service — |
| 102 | Gooroomoorty Shaustry | „ | 2 | „ | 6 | 2 | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | 6 | 2 | „ | 3 | 31 | 65½ | 102 Produced an Enyaet Namah dated 118[illegible] fuslie from His Highness the Nabob Waulahjah in support of his claim — |
| 105 | Ragoonaut Shaustry | „ | 1 | „ | 3 | 1 | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | „ | 3 | 1 | „ | 1 | 36 | 72¾ | 105. Ditto dated 1173 fusli — |
| | Total — | 4 | „ | 36 | 126 | 3 | 12 | 6 | 8 | „ | 4 | „ | „ | 130 | 3 | 12 | 78 | 41 | 28½ | |

Errors Excepted

Madura 9th November 1804

per

(Signed) G. Parish, Collr.

(True Copy)

H. Ritchie[?]

Secretary —

Comp. W. Ritchie

Revenue Department

83

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government
&c. &c.

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to the orders of Government under date the 24th November last I am directed to request you will submit the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Madura, reporting upon the claim of one Abdulla Khan to participate in a certain yeomiah allowance.

... January 1836

2. Under the explanation afforded by Mr. Blackburne and as the Party claiming the sixth share is acknowledged by his Copartner to be in the actual performance of his duties

(24) 81

To

The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue.

Gentlemen,

Para 1st. I have the honor to enclose a State-
No. 1 -ment of Yomeahs in the District of Madura
paid from this Treasury since the first of —
August in consequence of your Secretary's letter
under date the 31st July.

2nd. The Persons whose Names are included
in the above List have appeared at my Cacharee, and
produced the original Perwannahs from His Highness
the Nabob Mahomed Ally Cawn, authorizing the
amount now granted to them. All other claims to
this Indulgence, not substantiated by express
authority from the Huzzoor have been rejected until
I am favored with your further Instructions upon this
subject.

3rd. Altho' not regularly furnished with Per-
wannahs the persons mentioned in the accompanying
Statement No. 2 were in the Enjoyment of Yeomiahs
by authority from his Highness Umdut-ul Omrah at
the time when the Province of Madura was assumed. If
the Explanations I have afforded in the body of the State-
-ment relative to the Causes assigned by the Claimants
for having received the Indulgence should prove Satis-
factory. I request your authority to continue the payment
to them during their life, as they appear worthy objects of
Charity, both from age and infirmity.

4. The number of Persons included in this List are
[illegible] payable to them Monthly amounts to Str. 78 . 4 . 28¼.

(Signed) G. Parish.
Collr.

Statement

Statement of Yeomiah in the District of Madura paid from the Treasury of the Collector from the 1st August 1804 in Consequence of the Board of Revenues Order under date the 31st July 1804.

| Number in Statement | Names of the Grantees | Their Relationship to the Grantees | Names of the present Claimants | Age of the Claimants | Daily in paddy money | Amount in Money per Month | | | Amount Value of Paddy allowed to the Claimants &c. | | | Total | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Years | C. ƒs | C.Chs | F | C | C.Chs | F | C | C.Chs | F | C | S.Pas | F | C |
| 1 | Shaik Mahomed | Grand Child | Shaik Mahomed &ca | 53 | 2½ | 7 | 7 | 24 | " | " | " | 7 | 7 | 24 | 4 | 29 | 23 |
| 2 | Mullah [illegible]deen | Son | Mahomed Facirdeen | 30 | 1 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | " | 1 | 36 | 72¾ |
| 3 | Shaik [illegible] | " | Original Incumbent | 65 | 1 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | " | 1 | 36 | 72¾ |
| 4 | Hafiz Shaik Mahomed | Son | Shaik Hussain | 40 | 1 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | " | 1 | 36 | 72¾ |
| 6 | D[illegible] | D° | Seid Mahomed | 51 | 1 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | " | 1 | 36 | 72¾ |
| 10 | Shaw [illegible]deen | Nephew | Meer Fieruzdeen | 80 | 2 | 6 | 2 | " | " | " | " | 6 | 2 | " | 3 | 31 | 65½ |
| 11 | Mullah [illegible]mad | Son | Budrodeen | 57 | 1 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | " | 1 | 36 | 72¾ |
| 16 | Shaik [illegible] Cawder | D° | Shaik Mahomed | 50 | 1 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | " | 1 | 36 | 72¾ |
| 17 | Saine [illegible] Yusuff Cawn | D° | Ebraim Cawn | 44 | 1 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | " | 1 | 36 | 72¾ |
| 18 | Hussain [illegible]awn | D° | Mahomed Cawn | 40 | 1 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | " | 1 | 36 | 72¾ |
| 19 | Seid Noor [illegible] Moostahack | D° | Seid Meeran | 38 | 1 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | " | 1 | 36 | 72¾ |
| 20 | Cawn [illegible]bee | | Original Incumbent | 55 | 1 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | " | 1 | 36 | 72¾ |
| | [illegible] Seid Moostahack | Son | Seid Jaffer | 40 | 1 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | " | 1 | 36 | 72¾ |
| | [illegible]udoodeen | Nephew | Seid Ameen | 40 | P C 8: 40¾ | 27 | 5 | 26¼ | " | " | " | 27 | 5 | 26¼ | 16 | 29 | 31¼ |
| | [illegible]ttal Cawdree Peerjaada | Son | Seid Ebraim Kuttal Cawdree | 48 | 2: 5¼ | 6 | 5 | 25½ | " | " | " | 6 | 5 | 25½ | 3 | 40 | 64¼ |
| | [illegible] Shaw Fakeer | Grand Child | Ally Shaw | 40 | 1 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | " | 1 | 36 | 72¾ |
| | [illegible] Bangarader | Nephew | Vencatasoobah Bangarader | 27 | 1 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | " | 1 | 36 | 72¾ |
| | [illegible]adaury | Son | Goorovauvadaury | 65 | 1 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | " | 1 | 36 | 72¾ |
| | [illegible]aury | Grand Child | Comdrum | 17 | ½ | 1 | 5 | 24 | " | " | " | 1 | 5 | 24 | " | 39 | 36¼ |
| | [illegible] Shaustry | Nephew | Akelandum | 55 | 1 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | " | 1 | 36 | 72¾ |
| | [illegible]ingauchaury | Grand Child | Raumachaury | 40 | 1 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | " | 1 | 36 | 72¾ |
| | [illegible]e Shaustry | Son | Menachy Vidlaba Shaustry | 57 | ½ | 1 | 5 | 24 | " | " | " | 1 | 5 | 24 | " | 39 | 36¼ |
| | [illegible] Shaustry | D° | Sobah Shaustry | 35 | 1 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | " | 1 | 36 | 72¾ |

Revenue Department

83

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government

&c. &c.

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to the orders of Government under date the 24th November last I am directed to request you will submit the accompany-ing letter from the Principal Collector of Madura, reporting upon the claim of one Abdulla Khan to participate in a certain yeomiah allowance.

[illegible] January 1836

2. Under the explanation afforded by Mr. Blackburne and as the Party claiming the sixth share is acknowledged by his Copartners to be in the actual performance of his duties

duties in the Mosque the Board re-
commend that authority may be
granted for the payment to the claim
ant of the amount suggested Viz.
Rupees 1-4-11½ per Mensem.

Revenue Bd. Office,
Fort St. George
11th January 1838.

Signed A. P. Onslow
Secy.

Extract from the Minutes of Consult.
Under date the 26th January 1838.

The Governor in Council
is pleased to grant authority for the
payment to Abdulla Khan of the one-
sixth share of the yeomiah allowance
recommended in the foregoing letter
Viz. Rupees 1 — 11½ One, and
price

101

(31)

rice Eleven and a half per Mensem

Signed ( H. Chamier
Chief Secy

(True Copies)

[illegible]
Secretary

To the Pl. Collector of Madura
With reference to his
letter of the 1st Jany 1836.

Recd 2 Feby [illegible]

Engd P. Ritchie

Revenue Department

To

The Chief Secretary &c.

&c. Government

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will submit for the consideration and orders of Government the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Madura reporting upon a solicitation on the part of the family of the late Ramasawmy Taver for the continuance of an allowance for their maintenance.

[ ] September 1835

2. Ramasawmy Taver who died in 1830 was in the enjoyment of a Pension of 100 Rupees per Mensem. He was soninlaw to the deposed Rajah of

of Cammad and the allowance was

expressly intended to enable him to support

the dignity of his wifes family. Since his

death this pension has been stopt and

the family have in consequence been

reduced to a state of great indigence

and distress.

Mr Blackburne it will be

observed recommends that a sum

of 60 Rupees per Mensem may be

assigned for the support of this

family, Viz. Forty Rupees in the

name of Seittoovinr Moolabee Nauchyar

wife of the deceased, one half to lapse

to Government on her demise and

the other half to be divided among

her surviving children ceasing entirely

with their respective lives. Of the

remainder he suggests that ten Rupees

assigned to one Vellay Antal a concubine of the late Ramasawmy

and that ten Rupees be divided between Angalamy Amtal her Mother and Chinnapilly another Member of the family who lives under her protection, the shares of each to lapse entirely on their death.—

4 The Board recommend in consideration of the extreme Poverty and distress of the family that the allowances proposed by Mr Blackburne may be sanctioned, to have effect from the 1st January 1830. The sole support of the family at present is a pittance of 13 Rupees per Mensem enjoyed by Muttoovur Koobbee Nauchyar which it is proposed should cease from the date of the new grant.—

5 The Board deem it proper to observe that the claims of this family were also recommended

to favorable consideration by Mr. Viveash formerly Principal Collector and Mr. Wroughton Acting Principal Collector.

Revenue Bd. Office, } (Signed) A. P. Onslow
Fort St. George, } Secy.
14th January 1836. }

## Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 25th January 1836.

The Governor in Council is pleased to grant authority for continuing an allowance of Sixty (60) Rupees per Mensem out of the pension enjoyed by the late Ramasawmy Iyer for the support of his family to commence from the 1st Instant and to be distributed in the manner proposed by the Principal Collector.

of

(34) 61

91

of Madura and recommended by the Board of Revenue - The sum of Rupees (13) Thirteen per Mensem at present enjoyed by Muttoovaur Koolabee Nauchyar will cease from the same date.

(Signed) Hy Chamier
Chief Secy.

To the Pl. Collector of Madura.
With reference to his letter of the 16th September 1835.

(True Copies)

[illegible]
Secretary

Recd 4th Feby [illegible]

Comp. Whitcher

(35) 29

Revenue Department

53. ?

&c.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to the endorsement on Petition No. 1739 of 1835 from Mungalaswary Ummal preferring a claim to the Village of Aungoor appertaining to the Ramnad Zemindary, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of Government the correspondence noted in the margin which has passed on the subject to which the Petitioner refers.

To the Collr. [illegible] 10th January 1821
From Do. 20th Feby "
To do. 2d. April "
To do. 24th Jany 1825
From Do. 20th Feby "
To do. 14th March "
From Do. 31st Octr. "
To Do. 23d. Jany 1826

2 This village would seem since the date of the Board's orders of

of the 28th January 1826 to have been assumed by the Zemindar and the right of the Petitioner to its enjoyment is a question which must be adjusted by the Parties themselves and one in which Government are in no way interested.

3 — The original Petitions transmitted by Government are herewith returned.

(Signed) A. T. Onslow
Secretary.

Revl. Board Office
Fort St. George
18th January 1836

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 29th January 1836.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board.

(36) 31

# Board of Revenue.

Submitting a correspondence with the Principal Collector of Madura relative to the claim preferred by Mungleswary Ummal to the Village of Aurypoor appertaining to the Ramnad Zemindary and stating that the right of this person to the enjoyment of that Village is a question which must be adjusted by the parties themselves and one in which Government are in no way interested.

(Here enter 18th January 1830. No. 29.)

Ordered that Petitioner Mungalaswary Ummal be informed that the Village which she claims appears from a report from the Board of Revenue to have been assumed by the Zemindar of Ramnad and that the right of the Petitioner to its enjoyment is a question which must

must be adjusted by the parties themselves.

(A true Extract)

(Signed) H. Chamier

Chief Secretary

To

The President and Members

of the

Board of Revenue.

(True Copies)

To the Pl Collector of Madura
for his information

Recd 16th Feby 13

[illegible]

Secretary

Compd - [illegible]

97

Re

Be it enacted that the provisions of Regulation XXVII of the Madras Code, shall be considered to apply only to lands held under the Sunnud I midkaut, Istimrar to lands held without that Sunnud upon a fixed Peishcush or to farmers of the public revenue of not less than an entire Village.

Arrears of rent or revenue accruing upon all other lands in the Territories subject to the Presidency of Fort St. George shall be recovered in the manner hereinunder mentioned.

Failure

Failure of payment of the usual Kists shall be considered an arrear and the Collector or Revenue officer acting under his orders shall have authority immediately to attach the crops of the defaulter and if the arrear shall not be paid up within the time fixed by the Collector to sell the Crops, and if necessary for realizing the arrear his personal property and lastly the land itself.—

Provided that it shall be lawful for the Collector before he has recourse to the process of sale to keep the defaulter or defaulters under personal restraint for a period not exceeding two months by placing

Peons

Peons over them, or by confining them in the Taleek or Huzzoor Culcherry or any other suitable building.

Recd 24 Feby 93.

R Horton

Dy Secretary

To W Ritchie

147 (39) 101

B

Re.

Be it enacted that from and after the provisions of Regulation XXVIII of 1802 of the Madras Code for the recovery of arrears of public revenue in the Territories under the Presidency of Fort St George shall be considered applicable only to Zemindars holding lands under Sunnud i Milkeut Istemrar or without that Sunnud at a fixed Peshcush, and to farmers of the public revenue holding or farms immediately from Government of not less than an entire Village — and that in all other cases arrears of public revenue due on account of land shall be collected according to the rules contained in Regulation XXVIII of 1802 of the same Code.

Provided

Provided that for the purpose of enforcing payment of arrears of public revenue Collectors shall have the power of keeping defaulters under personal restraint for a period not exceeding two Months either by placing Peons over them, or by confining them in the Talook or Huzzoor Cutcherry. Provided further that it shall be competent to the Collectors to bring to sale any property or land belonging to a defaulter in satisfaction of the arrear due by him without a previous application to the Court of Adawlut.

R.H. Potter
Dy Secretary

Recd 24 Feby /13

Compd P. Ritchie

149

~~(40)~~ (39)

(40) 131

Revenue Department

103

To
The Principal Collector of
Madura
&c.

Sir,

Para. 1. In reply to your letter of the 31st Ultimo No. 7, I am directed to state that as the recommendation of the Board in favor of the Widows of the former Zemindar of Shevagunga was entirely founded on the supposition that, the Widows were destitute of means, which is shewn for the first time by your letter under reply to be incorrect, there appears to be no further occasion for interference on their behalf.

You

You are requested to re-turn the petition herewith trans-mitted with the Board's Endorse-ment.—

No. 537 of 1835

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt.
[signature]
Secretary

Revd. Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
2d. February 1836.

[illegible] 27 do.
Recd. 1 March [illegible]

Comp. [illegible]

(42) 133

153

(43)

105

Revenue Department

To

The Collector of

Madura &c.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826, to transmit for your information an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of November last.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

R Horte
Depy. Secretary.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29 February 1836.
Desp. 5th March Do.
Recd. 11th Do.

1

# Extract from the Register of Petitions for the month of November 1835 — Madura

| Number of Petition | Name of the Petitioner | Purport of the Petition | Orders of the Board |
|---|---|---|---|
| 9524 1835 | From Moottoo Vejaya Ragoonada Mooroogapa Vautchmaugorely Tawer Zemindar of Yelayatongooby, subject to Sevagunga Zemindary in the Zillah of Madura | Complains of a recent Decision of the Princl. Collector assigning two Shares of the produce of his Pollam to one Moottoopalanby Tawer, alleged to be an illegitimate Son and not entitled to any Share thereof. | The Principal Collector of Madura will report by endorsement for the Board's information on the Statements contained in this Petition. 9th November 1835. |

(True Extract)

R Hale
Dy Secretary

Recd. 18 Mar. at JB

Compd. J. Lambert

155

10

(48)

Revenue Department.

109

To

The Principal Collector of
Madura.

Sir,

Para 1 With reference to your letters of the 29th and 30th January, forwarding for sanction a Statement of lands proposed to be granted for the support of two Choultries, I am directed to observe that in neither instance was the sanction of the Board obtained previously to the erection of the Choultry as required by the Board's circular letter of the 19th January 1832, and in one instance only was your own sanction given.

Under

2 Under these circumstances,
and adverting to a communication lately
received from Government conveying a
strong opinion expressed by the Supreme
Government against the expediency of
grants of this nature, the Board are
not prepared to recommend the appli-
cations now under consideration for the
sanction of Government. If, however,
any thing has passed which in your
opinion affords a peculiarly strong
ground for complying with these appli-
cations, the Board request that you will
state it for further consideration.

Rev.ᵘ B.ᵈ Office
Fort St. George
17. March, 1836

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

[signature]
Secretary.

Recd 21 [illegible]

Comp. [illegible]

(46) (45) 24.

Rev. Department.

111

R.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 24th March 1836.

Ordered that a Copy of Para 19 of the Honorable Court's Despatch dated 12th August 1835 received with the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 11th March 1836 No 291 be transmitted to the Principal Collector of Madura for his information and guidance with reference to the correspondence forwarded to him on the 6th February 1832. The Principal Collector will of course state his opinion as to the management of the Zemindary in the report which he is required

to

to make by the orders of Government under date 1st September 1835 communicated to him in the Board's Circular letter dated 15th October last.

(True Extract)

[illegible]
Secretary

Recd 18th April [illegible]

Comm[illegible] [illegible]

Re

Extract from the Honorable Courts Despatch Dated 12th August 1835.-

Read 6½ the Zemindary of Ramnad allowed to continue under the management of Trustees agreeably to the wishes of the late Rajah.-

Para 19. Under the circumstances stated by the Principal Collector of Madura, we approve of your having permitted the management of the Ramnad Country to remain in the hands of the individuals to whom the late Rajah had entrusted the guardianship of his two infant Daughters, without interference by the Court of Wards- The subsequent Statement of the Collector in his letter to the Board of Revenue of the 30th December 1831 makes us apprehensive of the effects of their

(management

management. You will be careful to ascertain from time to time whether the resources of the District are prudently managed, and with a due regard to the future interests of the minor Zemindar, and if such should appear not to be the case you will immediately place the Zemindary under the charge of the Court of Wards.

(True Extract)

Recd 19th April 13

[illegible]
Secretary

Compd. [illegible]

(37)
(48) 230

Revenue Departt.

115

Re:

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 31st March 1836.-

Read letter from the Chief Secretary to Government dated 22d March 1836.-

(Here enter No. 344)

Ordered that a copy of the letter noted in the Margin be transmitted to the Principal Collector of Madura for his information with reference to his communication dated 9th January 1836.-

Lett. 22d
Mh 1836.

(A true Extract)

[illegible]

Secretary.

13 Apl. JB

[illegible] Inhambert

(47)

232

Fort St. George, 22d. March 1830

(17)

No. 344.

Re.

Gentlemen,

Revenue Departt. Para 1. I am directed to acknowledge the receipt, on the 2d. Instant, of your Secretary's letter of the 25th. Ultimo No. 103, supporting the recommendation of the Principal Collector of Madura that sanction be given for devoting the proceeds of the Village of Vellalaputty in that District for the erection of a Choultry for the accommodation of Travellers, agreeably to the intention of an alleged grant by an ancestor of the Poligar of Valiaputty.

2. It appears from the papers before Government that from the year 1816, when the Village was sequestered cotemporaneously with the

the incorporation of the entire Zemindary with the Sircar lands, to the present time, being a period of about twenty years, no appropriation of the pro-ceeds toward the support of any charitable institution has taken place, and that the only part of that period during which the Village was not under Sircar management was when it was given up by Mr. Peter to an individual, for his sole use it is presumed, under a Deed of Gift which was afterwards con-sidered to be invalid. —

3. It is observed by the Board, in reference to this Deed of Gift, that it purports to have been issued 41 years ago; and that, even if it be

authentic

(50) 169

authentic, the Grantor was not competent to alienate land under an exemption from taxes. The Governor in Council is not aware of the existence of any Law or Regulation at that period which would have made the Deed invalid, but if any did exist it would seem to apply equally to the alienation of the land on similar terms in favor of a charitable institution as in that of an individual, it would seem therefore to be inconsistent, by the appropriation of the entire proceeds of the Village at this distant period to the erection of the proposed Choultry, to recognize the validity of a grant which it is believed

the

the Poligar was not competent to make.—

4. Without further explanation therefore the Governor in Council is not prepared to accede to the Principal Collector's recommendation.—

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secty

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(True copy)

Recd 13 Apl [illegible]

[illegible]
Secretary

Compd [illegible]

Revenue Department

D

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 31st March 1836.

2d Augt 1835 Para 1. Read again the report of the Principal Collector of Madura on the Settlement of the land revenue for Fusly 1244.

Para 2 Settlement when and where made Commenced 1st April. 2. Adverting to the seasons of the several crops it appears to the Board to be too late to commence the Settlement on the 1st April. It should be well advanced by that time. At so late a period it must be very difficult to decide upon claims to remission on account of losses in the early cultiva-tion. With respect to Dindigul particu-larly, since there is no tax on the 2d crop

whether

whether on Nunjah or Poonjah lands the Board can see no reason for deferring the settlement after the period that the first crops come to maturity. It would seem however that in reality the business of the Settlement commenced so much earlier than the 1st April, for so before the end of January the Principal Collector was engaged with his Cutchery in investigating claims to remission in the Melur Talook where the losses of the Cultivators were greatest, and the investigation appears to have been prosecuted wherever it was necessary while it was still possible to check the accounts by actual examination.— Letter from the Pl Col 25th January 1835 Para 9 of

3 The season was favorable at first for Poonjah Cultivation which was carried to an extent greater than in the preceding year by Cawnies 27,425, but from want of rain afterwards Para 3 and 4 Season and Cultivation Poonjah

123

afterwards the produce proved deficient.
Punj. The unfavorable outturn of the season induced the Principal Collector to remit the demands upon parts of Poonjah fields taken up by the ... Ryots which were not actually cultivated even when the proportion was less than 12½ per cent. This remission is unusual, but under the circumstances represented the Board approve of it as a special indulgence for the year. When such a remission is made it ought to be exhibited distinctly in the accounts but in those under consideration ... there is no trace of it.

Nunjah. 4 The supply of water ... for irrigation in the former part of the season was insufficient for the cultivation of the early Nunjah Crop which in consequence failed extensively

the

the after rains were unusually abun-
dant and gave a plentiful harvest
to the cultivators from the latter crops - Para 8
The talook of Melur which suffered
most from the want of water for the
early crops was again unfortunate
in receiving but a scanty supply
of the rain which fell copiously in
March and April in the other talooks -

5 Excepting Melur the district Health para 6
was free from epidemic diseases -

6 The remissions for the Para 9
loss of crops on Nunjah lands Remissions for loss of crops
amounted to Rupees 47,379 ex- Madura ---- 43080.1
ceeding the amount in Fusly Dindigul ---- 4299.1
1243 by Rupees 39,844-9-1. From the 47379.1
great pains that were taken to check
the accounts the Boards see no reason
to doubt that the amount of losses
was ascertained with as much
exactness as can be expected - But
when

when they observe that in Dindigul the tax was remitted in full on all lands on which the crops failed even when they were within 10 per cent of the whole farm of the Ryot, and that in Madura the remission allowed was Rupees 43,030 out of a demand of Rupees 44,210, the Board cannot but entertain a suspicion that more was given up than would have been found necessary, if the sound principle of considering the general outturn of the whole cultivation of each Ryot claiming remission for a partial failure, had been attended to. It is not necessary in order to follow out this principle that the Curnums should personally superintend the reaping and threshing of the produce of each field as the Principal Collector seems to think.

if

If a Ryot who claims
remission for one field on account of
withered crops, has other fields which have
yielded a fair produce, the presumption
is that his claim is inadmissible and
it is for him to rebut that presumption
by shewing that the general outturn
of his cultivation has not been sufficient
to enable him to pay the tax for the
unproductive field in addition to the
tax on the productive fields. It is easy
for the Curnum to keep an account
by which it may be at once seen what
fields belonging to a Ryot are productive
and what are not, and a closer in-
-vestigation is necessary only when
claims to remission are preferred. The
general rule (subject of course to exceptions
at discretion) should be to reject claims
that are not preferred time enough
to admit of an effectual - -
examination.

(54) 250 (12)

examination - When the Boards in their proceedings under date the 17th September last directed that the general accounts of produce which had been required from the curnums should be dispensed with, they did not mean to dispense with an examination such as is above indicated into the general outturn of every Ryots farm in cases where claims to remission are preferred. It does not appear to the Board that the prescribed form for exhibiting these remissions is less applicable to Madura than to other Districts where there is a field assessment and Ryotwar settlement. The Principal Collector will therefore cause it to be observed in future. -

8. The Board desire that it may be explained whether in

Dindigul

Dindigul on lands producing 2
crops of which the 2d is not taxed, re-
mission is allowed for a failure
of the 1st Crop, although the 2d Crop
has yielded a fair harvest as in the
past year.-

9. To complete the
Shavy Statement for the Village of
Ire-lur, of which the Chittas shewing
the results of the examination into
the state of the Crops were submitted
with this report as noted in Para 9,
the Board request that the Principal
Collector will forward the Chittas
shewing in detail the remissions ac-
tually allowed.-

10. The other remissions Other Remissions ...
which are particularized and explained
in Para 15 of the report do not call
for remarks.-

11. For Fusly 1244 Result of Settlement
of land revenue
compared

Paras 13 and 15 compared with the preceding year there was an increase of Rupees 70,938 in the amount of the Gross assessments of the lands included in the Ryotwar settlement, but from the remissions having been greater, the net increase of demand as finally adjusted was reduced to Rupees 34,807 - 13 - 4. On the whole land revenue after deducting appropriations to Devastanums, Zemindars &ca, the net increase was Rupees 38,597 - 7 - 10 -

Settlement of Revenue from all Sources

Paras 16 & 17.

| | |
|---|---|
| Land Revenue | 16,38,810 - 4 - 3 |
| Extra Revenue | 6,43,440 - 7 - 7 |
| | 22,82,250 - 11 - 10 |

12. Including extra Revenue the total amount of the Settlement for the year was Rupees 2282250, exceeding the Settlement of Fusly 1243 by Rupees 70,710.

13. Of the demands of land revenue Rupees 1550057 was realized within the year. Of the balance Rupees 88753, it is satisfactory to

to observe that the amount on ac-
count of the Ryotwar Settlement
was only Rupees 1,835, which has since
been realized as per Demand Col-
lection and Balance Statements
up to the 31st January 1836. Of the
remaining balance standing
against Zemindars, Rupees 80,500 or | To Govt 11th June 1835.
was due by the Zemindar of Sheva- | Resolu 25th Do
-gunga regarding which a separate | From Govt 24 Decr 1835
correspondence has passed.- | To Do 11th Jany
| To Do 25th Do

14. The remainder is a-
-gainst the Ediacottah, | Ediacottah ——— 5,004-13-5
Inaumbary and Am- | Inaumbary ——— 1,364-14-1
-mianaiknoor Estates as | Ammianaik noor — 47- 8-
as noted in the margin.-

15. The circumstances
connected with the Ediacottah or
Zemindary have already been
brought to the notice of Government | To Govt 20th Augt 1835
and under the authority conveyed

in

in the Minutes of Consultation of the
8th September and 31st December 1835
the Surrender of that Zemindary was
accepted and an allowance of 10 per
Cent of the net revenue of the Estate
was assigned to the Zemindar from
the commencement of the current
Fusly 1245 and the balance outstand-
ing against the Zemindary to

Balance up to Fusly 1243 — 29,718-8-2 the end of Fusly 1244 amount-
Do Fusly 1244 — 5,004-13-5 ing to Rupees 34,723-5-
34,723-5-7 7 was remitted.

16. With regard to Nambary
the Board beg to refer to their letter
of the 21st Instant, in which they
recommended that the Surrender
of that Estate be accepted on the
same terms as those sanctioned for
Edicottah and that the balance
against it be remitted.

Para 19. 17. Of the balances entered

in

in this Para of Summary land revenue from Fusly 1237 to 1242, the Government have sanctioned the remission of Rupees 4437-3-4. The Board now resolve with reference to Para 42 of their proceedings dated 17th September 1835 to recommend the further remission of Rupees 277-13-1 on account of Mullapaudy Shotrium for Fusly 1243.

18. Of the balance of land revenue permanently settled from Fusly 1231 to 1243, the remission of Rupees 30,648-12-9 has been sanctioned× on account of the Ediacottah and Sookumputty Estates. Of the remainder the sum of Rupees 3,360-13- is against the Maumbaray Estate, the remission of which the Board have already recommended, leaving Rupees 17,050-8-8 against

| | |
|---|---|
| Ediacottah | 29,718-8-2 |
| Sookumputty | 930-4-7 |
| | 30,648-12-9 |

× From Govt 20th Octr 18..
From D° 31st Decr ..

against Estates under attachment, which it is expected will be recovered from future surplus revenue.

19. In para 8 of his report the Principal Collector submits on questions regarding the lands co which were assigned in 1808 to the men of the Revenue Sebbendy Corps then broken up. From the correspon-dence on the records of the Board it would appear not to have been intended to grant to these men any advantage in the cultivation of the lands assigned to them beyond what was common to all Ryots, but in point of fact it appears from the Statement furnished by the Principal Collector that the lands were given to them at a very favorable assessments, there-fore before passing orders on the questions

From the Collr of Ramnad 23d Jany 1808
To Do 2d Feby "
From Do 12th " "
To Do 22d " "
To the Collr of Madura 22d Feby
To Govt 28th March Para 29
From do 25d April Para 10

questions submitted by the Principal
Collector, the Board wish to have a
copy and translation of the Cowle
issued by the Collector and of his
orders to the Tahsildars for putting
the parties in possession of the lands
assigned to them. The Principal
Collector will state whether by a
practice the demand upon these
lands has varied annually with
the extent of cultivation, as would
appear from the Statement to be
the case comparing the Statement
of these lands now submitted a
with one obtained by the Board's
Sheristadar when he attended a
Mr T. Munro on his Tour through
the Southern Districts in 1826, there
appear to be considerable differences
in them. By the latter it would
appear that the grant to the Subidar

was

was 80 Cawnies, to the Havildars, Trump-
-er and Pachally) 24 Cawnies, to the
Naigue 20, and to each private man
12, the Total extent being 450, where-
-as by the Statement now received
the total is 421 Cawnies, and the
extent of the grants seems to have
varied arbitrarily. The Board
therefore suggest to the Principal
Collector to cause the present
statement to be compared with
the original accounts.

20. In the 3d para. of
his report for Fusly 1243 the Prin-
-cipal Collector observed that 2d
crop land to the extent of Star. 29,000
had been cultivated by means
of the supply of water received by
the Tanks in Madacolum and
Teroomungalum in April 1834
which would be brought to accoun[t]

in

in Fusly 1244, not having attained maturity within Fusly 1243 the Boards are unable to trace this in the accounts under consideration, and request that the Principal Collector will point out where it is entered. From para 4 of his present report it would seem that the 2d Crops grown in those Talooks at the same season of Fusly 1244 were included in the settlement of that year the Principal Collector will be pleased to state how this occurred.

21. The Board proceed to consider the Principal Collector's letter dated the 5th December in reply to their proceedings of the 17th September.

22. On the subject of this Para the sentiments of the Board

Para 2
Curnoms not to keep Accounts of Produce.

Boards are expressed in a former part of these proceedings.—

Para 3d
Zoomasib Remission in the Inadwa Division

23. The Boards approve of the local investigations intended to be made by the Principal Collector to satisfy himself of the necessity of continuing these remissions. When he has completed his inquiry they expect to receive from him a full report containing his definitive opinion on the subject with the grounds upon which it is founded.

Para 6.
Cultivation in the beds of tanks.

24. From the information afforded by the Principal Collector there does not seem to be sufficient reason for disturbing the practice of cultivating in the beds of tanks, where it as heretofore obtained. The Board therefore approve of the order passed by the Principal Collector as stated at the end of this Para.—

The

25. The Board wish the Para 7 Hill lands
periment of an individual Settle-
ment with the people in the Hills
to be fairly tried. There will proba-
bly be impediments at first, but
f the people themselves are inclined
to this mode of Settlement as has
been represented they will hardly
be found insuperable.

26 The Principal Col- Para 8 Discontinuance of tax on
lector has rightly construed the orders trees on Ryotah land not
on this subject. The trees which are to growing in topes.
be exempted are those growing sin-
gly in fields included in the Settle-
ment and taxed with the usual as-
sessment for Cultivated land.

27 The orders here re- Para 9
ferred to should be acted upon on- Assessment of lands re-
ly with respect to the particular -claimed from Poramboke
cases contemplated in the Boards and brought into Garden
proceedings. When new cases arise cultivation on the banks
of the Vighay.

they

they should be specially re-
=ported for orders, in a State-
=ment shewing all the ne-
=cessary details.-

28 The following
Statement shews the Demand
Collection and Balances on
account of the land and
Extra Sources of revenue in
the Madura District for Fusly
1244, as compared with the
preceding year, and exhibits
an increase in the Collection
of the latter Fusly amount-
-ing to Rupees 24,881-6-5.-

Fusly

| | July 1243 | | | July 1244 | | | Comparison between Columns 3 and 6 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Current Demand | Current Collections | Current Balance | Current Demand | Current Collections | Current Balance | Increase | Decrease |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Land Revenue Including Hill Rent | 16,02,376 6 7 | 15,56,307 15 „ | 46,068 7 7 | 16,35,579 „ 11 | 15,46,826 „ 8 | 88,753 „ 3 | „ „ „ | 9,481 14 4 |
| Salt | 2,15,567 7 8 | 2,15,567 7 8 | „ – „ | 2,46,204 4 8 | 2,46,204 4 8 | „ „ „ | 30,636 13 „ | „ „ „ |
| Sayer | 3,07,711 8 „ | 3,00,747 7 10 | 6,964 „ 2 | 2,98,779 8 „ | 2,86,914 3 6 | 11,860 4 6 | „ „ „ | 13,828 4 4 |
| Abkary | 52,746 12 3 | 49,014 1 3 | 3,732 11 „ | 47,291 „ „ | 45,615 „ 9 | 1,675 15 3 | „ „ „ | 3,399 „ 6 |
| Sundries, Hill rent and Fish Tax | 11,597 14 10 | 11,380 14 5 | 217 „ 5 | 13,667 8 2 | 13,145 8 3 | 521 15 11 | 1,764 9 10 | „ „ „ |
| Sea Customs | 8,658 4 4 | 8,658 4 4 | „ „ „ | 25,135 15 7 | 25135 15 7 | „ „ „ | 16,477 11 3 | „ „ „ |
| Stamps | 12,881 15 „ | 12,881 15 „ | „ „ „ | 15,593 6 6 | 15,593 6 6 | „ „ „ | 2,711 7 6 | „ „ „ |
| Total | [illegible] 4 8 | 21,54,558 1 6 | 57,982 3 2 | 22,82,250 11 10 | 21,79,439 7 11 | 1,02,811 3 11 | 51,590 9 7 | 26,709 3 2 |

Deduct Decrease — 26,709 3 2

Net Increase Collections 24,881 6 5

264

(68)

141

Ordered that a copy of these Proceedings be submitted to Government, and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Madura for his information and guidance.—

(A true Extract)

Recd. 6 April J.B.

[illegible]
Secretary

Comp'd. [illegible]

(62)a 284

163

Revenue Department

To

&c

The Chief Secretary to

Government

Sir

…o the Chief Secy 20 August 1835
…rom Govt 8 Sept 1835
…the Chief Secy 17th December "
…om Govt 31 Decr "

Para 1 With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin in regard to the Edeacottah Zemindary I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for the orders of Government the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Madura solicit-

…December 1835.

-ing authority for accepting the surrender of the Maunibaray Zemindary which its Proprietor has formally tendered. –

2 As the circumstances of this estate are stated to be precisely simi… to

to those of Ediacottah, the Board recom-
mend that it be assumed as proposed
by Mr. Blackburne, and that the usual
Mallikana of ten per Cent on the net
collections be granted for the subsistence
of the Poligar. If this Proposition be
approved of by Government special
sanction will be required for writing
off the balances which have accrued
under attachment, amounting it
will be observed to Rupees 4725 – 11 – 1. –

Revenue Bd. Office,
Fort St. George, } (Signed) J. C. Onslow
27th March 1830 } Secy.

C.
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Dated 4th April 1830. –

The Governor in Council is
pleased

pleased to grant authority for accepting the surrender by the Proprietor of the Maumbaray Zemindary, and for assigning to him an allowance of ten per cent of the Net Revenue of the Estate. Special sanction is granted for writing off the balance outstanding against the Zemindary amounting to Rupees 4725-11-1 / Four thousand seven hundred and twenty five, Annas eleven, and Pice One. —

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secy

(True Copies)

[signature]
Secretary

...to the Pl. Collector of Madura
with reference to his letter of
... December 1835.
Recd 17 April ...

Pgs 258
to 291 incl
never received
Cat
sent to Court
when ...
...
...

29876 (46) (64) 292 142

Revenue Department

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government
&c.

Sir,

Para. 1 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will submit for the orders of Government the accompanying letter from the Acting Collector of Madras with copy of a letter from Messrs. Arbuthnot and Co. relative to the valuation of the article of Indigo in his Tariff.

16th Decr. 1835

2 The Board are of opinion that the Tariff valuation in Madras should be reduced as proposed

proposed by Mr. Hudleston to the wholesale selling prices of the article, and they would suggest that as Indigo is almost entirely an article of External Commerce an uniform valuation should be fixed thereon throughout the Provinces, the Collector of Madras communicating from time to time with the Collectors of Districts where the chief production of Indigo takes place when any alteration is made in the Tariff valuation of this article at the Presidency, and that they be authorized to adjust their Tariffs accordingly.

Revd. Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
28th December, 1835.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Secretary

Extract

(65) 2/4 149

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 5th January, 1836.

The Governor in Council concurs in the opinion of the Board of Revenue and approves of the suggestion offered by them, and requests they will issue the necessary instructions for giving effect to it.

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 11th April, 1836.

Ordered that copy of the Board's letter of the 28th December

[..]th Jany 1836. last with the orders of Government thereon

thereon be communicated to all Collectors of Districts and to the Collector of Sea Customs at the Presidency.

The Acting Collector of Madras will reduce the Tariff valuation of Indigo in the land Custom Department to the present wholesale selling prices of the article, and will make such communication from time to time to the several Collectors in the Provinces as may be necessary with a view to the proper adjustment of their respective Tariffs.

(True Extract)

Recd 22 April JB

R. Clive
Secretary

Compd. Lambert.

Revenue Department.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 18th April, 1836.

Re.

Read the following letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 2nd April, 1836.

[Here enter No. 34]

The Board concur in the opinion expressed by the Principal Collector and see no reason for disturbing the orders of Government dated 30th July 1830 the Principal Collector will therefore reject the claims of Ahmed Ali and also of Meer Ahmed Ibrahim and Peer Mahomed Ali.

(A true Extract)

(Signed) [illegible] Porter

Dy. Secretary.

Recd. 25th Apl. JB

[illegible] Lambert.

(68) 272

153

Re

Extract from the Proceedings of the Bo[ard]
of Revenue dated 4th April 1836.

Read letter from the
Principal Collector of Madura
dated 22d March 1836.

(Here Enter No. 29)

Resolved that the
foregoing letter with the Corresp[ond]
-ence upon the subject noted in
the Margin be submitted for the
consideration and orders of the
Right Honorable the Governor in
Council with the Board's recommenda-
-tion, under the circumstances urged
by the Principal Collector, that
the grants of land proposed in the
Statement which accompanied

From the Collr. of Madura 29th January 1836.
From Do. 31st Do. "
To Do. 17th March "

Mr

Mr. Blackburne's letter of the 29th January last for the support of the Choultries therein specified, may be sanctioned.—

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Secretary—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th April, 1836—

A reference having lately been made to the Supreme Government to ascertain whether it is their wish that their orders of the 5th October last prohibiting the Grant of lands for the support of public buildings should have retrospective effect, the Governor in Council is pleased to defer the consideration of the foregoing proceedings of the Board of Revenue

until

(68) 274

155

until the reply of the Supreme Government is received.—

(Signed) Hy Chamier
Chief Secy

To the Pl. Collector of Madura.
With reference to his letter of the 22d March 1836.—
Recd 3d May 13

(True Copies)

[illegible]
Secretary.—

Comd. [illegible]

336

(19)

16

Revenue Department

R

To

The Collector of

Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826, to transmit for your information an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of January last.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
R Porter
Depy. Secretary

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
2nd May 1836.

Recd 15th /B

Madura

# Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of January 1836.

| No. | Names of the Petitioners | Purport of the Petitions | Orders of the Board |
|---|---|---|---|
| Bd No. 917 1835 | From Saidoo Ramanada pundarum of Ramaswaram in Ramanad in the Zillah of Madura | Praying that the Zemindar may be ordered to pay the sum due by him to the Pagoda of the above Village, in the management of which he (Petitioner) prays to be re instated | Referred to the Principal Collector of Madura. 4th January 1836. |
| 1165 of 1835 | From Satada Sastryen Ven-catatistryen &ca of Goodalaga Pagoda in the Zillah of Madura | Complain of an increased demand by the Collector upon certain lands held by them, and pray that the Mamool may be adhered to in future | The Petitioners should address the Principal Collector of Madura who will take their case into his consideration and note the orders he may pass on the subject by Endorsement. 4th January 1836. |

(72)

755

✓

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1198 From Allagan and Moottoo Caroopen Toty of Ooroochary in the Zillah of Madura | Pray to be restored to their hereditary Offices | The Principal Collector of Madura will be pleased to dispose of this petition. 11th January 1836. |

(True Extract)

R Walter
Dy Secretary

Recd 13 Jany JB

93

(71)

161.

2.

Extract from a letter from the Secretary to the Government of India dated 25th January 1836.-

Para 2 One of the objects of the present enquiry is, to ascertain what would be the Financial result of giving up all Pilgrim Taxes, offerings at Pagodas, and other sources of Revenue from Religious establishments simultaneously with all management of the same.-

3 For this purpose therefore one statement is required from which all expenses that would not necessarily be saved, and all income that would not necessarily

be

be lost upon the withdrawal of the interference of Government with the management of religious establishments, ought to be omitted.

If there be now any expenses or usually incurred which are charged against Pilgrim Taxes or other receipts of the nature alluded to but which would not necessarily cease upon the abandonment of interference, the amount thereof must be separately noted as a contingent addition to the loss shown in the Statement that will depend upon the decision of Government in each case. Disbursements for Public works, Charities, Hospitals, and Police, if now charged against Pilgrim Taxes &ca. may be of this description

4 In the Statements sent up with your letter dated the 28th of August last, the Receipts and disbursements of institutions managed by government are stated to be correctly shown, but those of institutions managed by their own Agents, are stated to be doubtful. It would therefore be desirable to have the accounts of the two classes quite distinct from each other. But they seem to be mixed up together in these Statements, for though it is true that the receipts from the two classes of Institutions are separately entered, that is not the case with the disbursements, and there seems therefore no means of

distinguishing

distinguishing how much of the
entire Balance of Rupees 1,73,709 as
as distributed in Columns 29, 30
and 31 of the abstract is positive,
and how much doubtful. For the
sake of exactness it will be con-
venient to have one Statement
exclusively relating to Insti-
tutions under the immediate
management of Officers of
Government.

5 The sum entered
in Column 31 which is headed Net
Revenue carried to the account
of Government, Vizt Rupees
1,20,826, can shew only the Mini-
mum limit of what the average
yearly loss to Government may
be. Whatever expenses would not
cease on the withdrawal of ..
Government

(75) 17 165

Government interference and the abolition of the tax which are now charged against receipts from the resources alluded to, must be added to this sum

If there be any charges that can possibly be of this nature the Supreme Government is desirous to have a detailed account of them.

6 With reference to the 6th Paragraph of the letter from the Secretary to the Revenue Board to your address dated the 17th of August last, the Supreme Government desires to be informed how much of the sum of Rupees 10,974 paid by Government for Religious and charitable purposes, is a gratuitous allowance, and

how

how much of it is merely paid "in lieu of lands resumed," after deducting of course the Government share of the produce thereof. The value of the considerations taken for the annual payments of this nature, ought to be shewn as a set off against such payments.

(A true Extract)

(Signed) W. Porter

Dy. Secretary

Recd 14th May 1830

J.B.

J. Lambert

Revenue Department

-16)

De.

No. 545

[Ex]tract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 3rd May 1836.-

Read the following Extract
from the Proceedings of the Board of
Revenue

Relative to the Settlement of the
~~[illegible]~~ of the District [Here enter 31st March 1836,
Madura for Fusly 1244 - No. 169]

The demand for Fusly 1244
was Rupees 1635579-11- or Rupees 33202-10-
above the demand for the preceding
year and if the increase of Rupees
394-13-6 under the head of Hill
rent be taken into the account
the excess over Fusly 1243 is Rupees
33597-7-10- The Current Collections how-
-ever fell short in the sum of Rs 9484-14-
The

| | |
|---|---|
| [Fus]ly 1244 | 1635579-11-11 |
| [Fus]ly 1243 | [16]02376-6-7 |
| | 33202-10-4 |
| [illegible] | 394-13-6 |
| | 33597-7-10 |
| [illegible] | 1536,307-15-11 |
| [illegible] | 1526,826-1-8 |
| | 9484-14-4 |

2. The Current balance outstanding at the end of the Fusly was Rupees 88,753-"-3, the particulars of which are explained in Para 18 of the Principal Collector's report, and in Paras 13 to 16 of the Proceedings now before Government.

There appears to be a discrepance between the amount stated in the 13th Para of the latter, Vizt., Rupees 1550057 "as realized within the year" and that entered in the Statement annexed to Para 28 where the "Current Collections" are exhibited at Rupees 15,46,826-"-8, but this is presumed to be in consequence of the Hill rent having been included in the former Sum, though not expressly stated. –

3. Including Extra Revenue, under which branch the Sayer presents a decrease as noted in the Margin, the Settlement of Fusly 1244 was

Sayer

Demand in Fusly 1243 — Rs [illegible] — 8
" — in 1244 — 29,779 — 8
[illegible] — "

Sayer Collections
Fusly 1243 — Rs [illegible] — 7
Fusly 1244 — Rs [illegible]
13,828 — 4

was Rupees 228250-11-10 or Rs 70710-7-2 beyond the settlement of Fusly 1243-4.-

The Statement of the Principal Collector in the 4th Para: of his report that remission is <u>never</u> granted on Poonjee lands, cannot be received without considerable qualification. From the comparatively light expence of cultivating dry lands, it is the rule to collect, the whole tax, even where a portion of the field is uncultivated, and there has been a partial failure of the Crop- but if this rule were inflexible, it would be unjust, and bring disastrous consequences upon the Government and the people- that it ought not to be, and is not rigidly adhered to is shewn by the Principal Collector himself, who observes that the tax in Fusly 1243 was levied upon the Poonjee fields, if the proportion

left

left uncultivated was within 12½ per Cent, but that in Fusly 1244, the tax was taken only upon land actually cultivated. +

5– The Governor in Council has derived great satisfaction from the report made by the Principal Collector of the prosperous condition of the Ryots of the Nuttum Talook, which is evinced by their declining to receive advance for cultivation, and by their undertaking the repair of the Tanks without aid from the Circar. The Collector should endeavour to trace the cause of their prosperity and state whether the remission of the 2nd Crop rent upon Nunjee lands is peculiar to this Talook, whether the land is generally saleable in it, if it is, at what price, and what proportion the Government assessment bears to the gross produce. –

The

6. The remission of Rupees two hundred and seventy seven, Annas thirteen and Pice one, [277-13-1] on account of Mullapaundy Shrotriam for July 1843 is authorised.

Proceedings Para 17.

7. With respect to the balance due by the Zemindar of Shevagunga, the Governor in Council awaits the reply of the Board to the letter No 418 bearing date 8th Instant.

Proceedings Para 13.

8. The reference from the Board regarding the Mambarey Estate was answered on 6th Instant No 391.

Proceedings Para 16.

9. The other points noted in the Proceedings do not appear to require remark, except that adverted to by the Principal Collector in para 5 of his letter of 5th December last, in reference to which the Governor in Council presumes that the tax on Trees is no longer discontinued.

10. The Governor in Council observes that the Principal Collector repo

report ought to have been submitted
to Government at an earlier period. –

(Signed) Wm. Chamier
Chief Secretary

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue. –

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 9th May 1836.

Ordered that a Copy of the
foregoing Extract from the Minutes of
Consultation be transmitted to the Princi-
pal Collector of Madura for his informa-
tion and guidance. The Board observe
in advertence to the 2nd Para; that the
apparent discrepance between the amount
of Collections as stated in the 15th and
th Para of the Proceedings was occasi-
oned by the Hill rent having been
included

(79) 360

173

included in the former."

(A true Copy and Extract)

Recd. 14th May JB

A. Philp [?]
Secretary.—

Compd. [illegible]

(~~86~~) 370

175

Revenue Department.

&c.

To The Principal Collector

of Madura.

Sir

I am directed to request that you will forward at your earliest convenience copy of your letter to the Board dated 27th November 1835 with its enclosure relative to the claims of Mootiah Pillay and the Pundarum of Ramaseveram to the enjoyment of the revenue of certain endowments founded by the late Mootoo-ida Row, as the original appears to have been mislaid in this Office. -

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servt.

[signature]

Secretary

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George.
9 May 1836.

Recd 13th [illegible]

Comp[illegible] [illegible]

Revenue Department.

2.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 19th May 1836.

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 30th March 1836.

[Here enter No. 33]

Previously to submitting to Government their opinion on the claims of the Zemindar of Shevagunga for remission on account of his being prohibited from collecting the Mohturpha Tax the Board require explanation on the following points.

See letter dated 1st June 1821.

1st. On a reference to a Statement forwarded by Mr. Peter the assets on account

account of Mohturpha are entered at 10365,
whereas in the Statement made by Mr
Lushington and alluded to by Mr
Viveash the amount is entered at 5792 - See Letters dated 30th September 1802
The Board request the present Principal from Mr Lushington & 6th May 1832
Collector to refer to the records and ac- from Mr Viveash -
counts from which these Statements were
prepared to furnish the necessary expla-
nation of this difference -

2nd The Zemindar states that See Mr C's
in Mr Lushington's account only 6 out letter of the 30 Mar 1836
of 15 Items of the Mohturpha were in-
cluded - the Board request that a de-
tailed Account of the Items may be for-
warded with any explanation that may
appear necessary -

3rd On a reference to letters from See Mr Cands
Mr Cansh and Mr Viveash on the letter of the 26
subject of remission on account of the May 1806, and
Mohturpha of the Ramnad Zemindary Mr Viveash's letter
it appears that the claim was relin- of 9th May 1832.
quished in consequence of its being Para 10

shewn

shewn that the Zemindar had derived great profit from the exchange consequent to the introduction by Government of a new Coinage. The Board request the opinion of the Principal Collector on this Subject as it affects the Shevagunga Zemindary."

See Proceedings of the Board dated 21st April 1828.

(A true Extract)

Recd 23d May [illegible]

[illegible]
Secretary.

[illegible] Lambert

(8b) 44 181

Revenue Department.

Q.

To

The Principal Collector of

Madura.

Sir,

Para 1 The Board of Revenue having again had under their consideration the expediency of re-imposing the Moturpha tax throughout your district, I am directed to request that you will with as little delay as possible submit your sentiments upon the measure and on the best means of introducing it, and that you will report the amount which the tax is likely to yield.

As

2. As the Board are anxious to effect this measure, if possible, in the ensuing fusly it is hoped that you will be able to reply to this communication at an early date.

Revd. Bd. office.
Fort St. George.
26. May, 1836.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.

[illegible]

Secretary

Compd. [illegible]

(48)
917

(83) 430

143

Revenue Department

To

The Collector of

Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826, to transmit for your information an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of February last.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

Depy. Secretary.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
30 May 1836.
Recd 8th June

(88)

232

186

Madura

# Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of February 1836.

| No. | Names of the Petitioners | Purport of the Petitions | Orders of the Board. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 62 of 1836. | From Alagery Naick of Racoody Village in the Zillah of Madura | Objecting to an order passed by the Principal Collector in the matter of Complaint preferred by him - prays for further enquiry and redress. | Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Madura who will dispose of this petition. 18th February 1836. |
| 59 | From Moodoomangaya Mootaya Naick Zemindar of Cadayoor in the Zillah of Madura. | Lays claim to certain disputed lands the produce of which he prays be kept in deposit pending the settlement of his claims. | The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to enquire into the claims brought to notice in this address. 18th February 1836. |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 42 | From Ramasawmy Iyengar, Vakeel to Sevagana Taver the Protector of Vaitalal, the 3rd wife of Chinniah alias Dawanah Taver deceased of Sevagunga in Madura.. | Encloses Petition to Government and endorsement thereon and prays for the restoration of certain Villages &ca on behalf of his client.. | The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to report by endorsement on this Petition.. 18th February 1836.. |
| 244 1835 | From Mootiah Pillay, the Meerassy Nattamkar of Seetyempatty Village in Teencaray Talook in the Zillah of Madura.. | Prays to be put into possession of certain lands which have been appropriated by other Ryots of the Village.. | Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Madura.. 1st February 1836.. |
| 419 | From Samaya Mootoo Taver Guardian and Manager to the son of Vellay Nachiyar first Daughter of the late Zemindar of Sevagunga | Complains that a Village has been gifted by the present Zemindar alienated by his predecessor. Prays that it be continued in his | The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to inquire into this case and to bring the same to the notice of the |

434

(874)

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | in the Zillah of Madura | enjoyment as formerly | record of the claims of the Petitioner are deserving of Consideration.. 8th February 1836.. |
| 53/ 1836 | From Cathersa Ravoothen a Meerassy Inhabitant of Caroongolacoody Village in the Zillah of Madura | Complains of having been dismissed from his Meerassy Office by the late Acting Principal Collector- Prays for redress and for the restitution of Certain Sums levied from him.. | The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to dispose of this petition by endorsement.. 1st February 1836.. |
| 438/ 1835 | From Syed Ally &ca Servants of the Mosque of Syed Mahomed Meerasaib at Anoomunbacoody in the Zillah of Madura.. | Complain of having been ejected from the management of their Village thro' a fabricated decision produced by their opponents.. | Referred to the Principal Collector of Madura for disposal by endorsement.. 11th Feby 1836.. |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 161 of 1836 J. | From Rungasawmy Iyen son of Ramasawmy Iyen Shotriumdar of Lingamatauz Chatrum in the Zillah of Madura | Complains of the assumption of his Village &c. | The Principal Collector of Madura will report by endorsement on this petition. 29th February 1836. |

Recd 8th June (?)

(True Extract)

[illegible signature]
by Secretary

435

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 30^th May 1836

Read letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 16^th May 1836.—

(Here enter No. 49)

The Board are of opinion that the Principal Collector should place one or other of the two Widows in possession whose claims shall appear to be preferable, and maintain her therein until some other arrangement for the management of the Villages shall be ordered by the Established Courts of Udalut on the

the application of the parties interested in the succession.- If either widow were residing in the villages, and actually in possession, her claim should have been supported in the first instance.-

(True Extract)

Rec^d 3 June 18[illegible]

[illegible signature]
Secretary-

Comp^d. [illegible]

(87) (87) 424 191

Revenue Department

No. 269

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government

Sir,

Para 1 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will submit for the orders of the Governor in Council

[..]: April 1836 the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Madura, supplying further information in regard to the proposed appropriation of the Revenues of the village of Valiapetty for the endowment of a Choultry with reference to the observations of Government conveyed in your letter of the 22nd March.

It

2 It would seem that some misapprehension has been formed relative to the date of the first endowment of this village for charitable purposes, which now appears to have been appropriated for the support of a Chuttrum from a remote period prior to the date of the alleged deed of gift under which the charity itself was subsequently claimed. Mr. Blackburne states that the Istemrar accounts of fusly 1213 shew that the village of Valiaputty was excluded, as Enam for the Caumalummal Chuttrum, when the Peshcush was fixed upon the Pollum, and hence he infers that the validity of the alienation was recognized at

that

that time, under the Provisions of Regulations XXXI of 1802.

3. Under these circumstances and as it appears that altho' the proceeds under the authority of the Government Officers were for a short period misapplied to private purposes there was no intention at any time of appropriating them to the use of Government, the Board beg to repeat their recommendation that authority be granted for devoting the Revenues of the village for the construction and endowment of a Choultry on the site suggested by the Principal Collector in his letter of the 9th January last.-

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Secretary.

Revd. Bd. office,
Fort St. George,
9th May, 1836.

Extract

427

Re

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th May, 1836.

As it appears from the information furnished in the foregoing letter and its Enclosure that the village of Villiaputty in the Madura District was carefully excluded from the accounts upon which the peshcush of the Valiaputty Pollem was fixed, as being Enam, there now seems to be no doubt of its having been from a remote period allotted for the support of a charitable institution, and that the alienation was recognized so far back as the formation of the permanent Settlement; the Governor in Council has therefore no hesitation in acceding

to

Re. (92) 428

155

to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, and desires they will issue the necessary instructions to the Principal Collector to carry the measure proposed by him into immediate effect.

(Signed) A. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Copy to Pl. Collector of Madura. With reference to his letter the 18th April 1836.

Recd 12th June

(True Copies)

[illegible]

Secretary

Compd. [illegible] Lambert. T. & Sent

(93) 450 187

Ke.

# Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Dated 6th June 1836.

Para 1 Read the following letters from the Principal Collector of Madura submitting explanations upon certain points commented on in the Boards Proceedings upon the Land revenue Settlement of his District for Fusly 1244 and the observations of Government thereon.—

Proceedings 31st March 1836.

From the Pl. Collr. 6th May 1836.
From Do. 10th Do.

/Here enter No. 1165 and 1167/

2 With reference to para 2 the Board expect that the Principal Collector will make the necessary

Para 2 of the letter 6th May.—

necessary enquiries and examination at such periods of the year as he may consider most expedient and convenient for the completion of the settlement, in which the Board trust considerable advancement will generally have been made before the 1st April when the 2d kist will have become due. —

3 With respect to granting Par remissions on Nunjay lands the Board do not see any reason for a deviation in Madura from the rules observed in other districts similarly assessed, and they

they request the Principal Collector
to be guided by the instructions
contained in the Extract circulated
on the 15th June 1831, unless peculiar
circumstances may render exceptions
necessary when the Board will
expect particular explanation.—

4 The Board will be
happy to receive the reports promised
in the last Para of the Principal
Collector's letter and also the
information called for in the 5th
Para of the Minutes of Consultation
dated 3rd May and transmitted to
the Principal Collector on the 9th
May 1836.—

5 The Board consider the
explanation

explanation contained in Mr. Blackburne's letter of the 18th, satisfactory.

6 — Ordered that a copy of these Proceedings be transmitted to the Principal Collector for his information and guidance and also a transcript of the same be submitted for the information of Government. —

(A true Extract)

Recd 12 June /13

[signature]

Secretary

Compd. Ankambert.

(92) 417

201

Re.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 16th June 1836.

Read letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 4th June 1836.

(Here enter No. 56)

The Board will decide upon the claims of Abool-rezak after inspecting the original Sunnud, which the Principal Collector will be pleased to transmit.—

(A true Extract)

[signature]

Secretary.—

Recd. 30th June [illegible]

Comp.d Schambert

531

(93)

703

Revenue Department.

To

The Collector of

Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826, to transmit for your information an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of March last.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

[signature]

Dep. Secretary.

Revenue Board Office,

Fort St. George,

27 June 183

Recd 6 July [illegible]

(94) 533

# Madura.

Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of March 1836.–

| No. | Names of the Petitioners | Purport of the Petitions | Orders of the Board |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1089 1836 | From Veeraummummal widow of the late Zemindar Chinnasawmy Naicker of Yarasanaickenoor Zemin:dary in the Zillah of Madura.– | Prays that one Suckama Naick be invested with the Pattom of the Zemindary | Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Madura. 10th March 1836.– |
| 186 | From Soobaroya Woodian of Desoovanoor magarum in the Zillah of Madura.– | Complains of the levy of an increased Teerwah on their lands and of other exactions from which they pray to be relieved. | The Principal Col:lector of Madura is requested to report by endorsement on this petition.– 24th March 1836.– |

205

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 174 | From Chinna Sanapatheya Pillay late Number Curnum of Coonatoor in the District of Tinnevelly Madura | Prays to be entertain:ed as a Curnum which office he resigned thro' ill health.- | Petitioner is referred to the Principal Col:lector of Madura, who will pass such orders in the matter as he may think fit.- 14th March 1836.- |
| 338 of 1835 | From Kistna reddy and Tinna reddy Merrassy Nattama:cars of Culloor and Alacoorera subject to Peroomungalum Talook in the Zillah of Madura | Complaining of having been deprived of their Merrassy right.- | The Principal Col:lector of Madura is requested to enquire into and dispose of the Case of the Petitioners, noting by Endorsement the orders he may pass thereon.- 28th March 1836.- |

(98) 535

… and … praying Ditto Ditto

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1104 1831 | Kistna Reddy the Meerassy Nattama of Calloor and Alagonsany in Seroomungalam Talook in Madura. | been deprived of their Meerassy right, and praying instructions to the Board of Revenue to decide their case as setforth in a separate petition to the Board in April. | | |

(True Extract)

[illegible]
Dy Secretary.

Recd 6th July [illegible]

Compd [illegible]

545

(96)

304

E.

# Extract from a Despatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 11th December 1833.—

o also Para 46 f letter dated 21st October 1830 - The mount of Veesabuddy to be assessed in Cuddapah from 1239 to 1243 limited to Rs 72,800, and in Bellary to Rs 112600 per Annum.

Para 20. In connection with the subject of these Paragraphs our attention has been attracted to some Correspondence which passed in the year 1829 between Mr Dalzell the late Collector of Cuddapah and the Board of Revenue, with regard to a proposed extension of the Veesabuddy Tax, and which seems never to have been brought by the Board under your immediate notice - It appears that in the

Ceded

Ceded Districts which form the present Collectorates of Cuddapah and Bellary the Moturpha is divided into Veesabuddy and Baghayar Veesabuddy, the former being a tax on Merchants and Traders, and the latter a tax on Mechanics and artisans, both being considered to be a portion, not exceeding ten per Cent, of their presumed profits - In the other Districts under your Presidency the latter description of tax alone is known under the name of Moturpha, while the former or tax upon Merchants and Traders is confined to the two Collectorates abovementioned -

abovementioned - We are therefore led to conclude that the Mercantile portion of the Community enjoy an entire exemption from that Species of direct taxation to which all the other Classes are subjected the landholders and Cultivators in the form of land Revenue, and the Artisans and Mechanics in that of Moturpha or house - tax - The advantages derived from our Government by this privileged order are, if not greater than, at least as great as, those which have been gained by the other - Classes - Under their native Rulers they were liable to exactions of

various

various Kinds, among which may be reckoned the compulsory obligation to purchase grain and damaged Stores from the Circar at unfavorable prices and to furnish gratis Clothes for its Catcherry Servants.— From these and other similar exactions they are now free, and in return for the protection which they enjoy, they should at least contribute an equal quota with other subjects of the British Government.—

21. The operation of the Veesabuddy tax in the Ceded Districts appears to be liable to few objections— The individual assessment

assessment being determined by the Merchants themselves, it is only incumbent on the Government to take due care to fix the gross amount leviable in each Talook within reasonable limits. The complaints made by the Merchants of Bellary and Cuddapah are directed less against the tax itself than against it's partial operation, and we can hardly be surprized at their feeling aggrieved at being subjected to an impost from which persons of their own class and exercising the same profession in the neighbouring Districts are exempted.—

(True Extract)

Recd 24th July 13

F. Lambert.

R. Hulton[?]
Secretary

(177) 551 215

To, Q

The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue

Gentlemen,

Para 1. When Coimbatore came into the possession of the British Government its mercantile Capital was reduced to the lowest ebb. The interdictions laid by Tippoo upon all commercial intercourse with the adjoining Provinces which belonged to the Company were as rigidly enforced as circumstances would permit. Trade was therefore nearly paralyzed, and what capital there was in the Country was hoarded

and

and unproductive. In this state of things it was impossible to draw any Revenue of consequence from the income of the trading classes.

2. Circumstances are now much altered. A very considerable portion of the agricultural and manufactured produce is exported, and a large Capital employed in the trade. A proportionate income is derived to a class of people, who either pay nothing directly to the taxes, or so small a sum as not to be worthy of consideration.

3. Taxation therefore falls almost entirely upon the lower orders

none

(107) 553

none of whom are exempt from contributing their mite. The poorest labourer pays the amount of a few days' labor. It would seem advisable under every view of the subject to correct this anomaly in the fiscal system of Comitatore. Every man should contribute in some sort of proportion to the protection which he derives from the state.

4. The attention of Collectors was called to this subject so long ago as 1816,—as yet however nothing has been done. The subject has been particularly forced upon my mind of late by the number of audacious Burglaries committed upon the property of

of Soucars and other wealthy classes.
within the last three years upwards
of Rs. 40,000 has been abstracted from
three Individuals who contribute nothing
towards the support of the state, whilst
the whole force and energy of the Police
has been employed in endeavouring to
recover the property stolen, and in
bringing the depredators to
Justice.

5. I therefore beg leave to propose
that the provisions of Regulation IV
1818 authorizing the collection of the
Veedabuddy tax be immediately
extended to Coimbatore.

6. The present appears to be
a particularly favorable opportunity
of

(104) 565 219

of introducing the tax from the circumstance of the house of a Merchant who is now exempt from all taxes, having been robbed within the last month of property to the amount of Rs. 25,000 a large part of which has been recovered thro' the exertions of the Police.

7 No inconvenience whatever can result from the immediate extension of the provisions of the Regulation, which of course may remain a dead Letter if it should ultimately be deemed expedient not to adopt the proposal I have suggested. On the other hand should it be as I believe it will be, perfectly unobjectionable

unobjectionable, the tax may be commenced upon in the present year. The highest rate now paid under the head of Shop tax is 4 Canteroy Pagodas or 11¾ Rupees per annum.

8. I also beg authority to include in the Mohturpha or tax on arts and professions all classes who upon enquiry may be found to be now without any just cause exempt from it.

(Signed) J. Sullivan
Princl. Collector

(A true Copy)

Coimbatore District,
Mulyacaumud,
28th November, 1828.

Recd. 24 July 1836

R. Pote
Dy. Secretary

Compd. Schambert.

(108) 579

221

Revenue Department

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 11th July 1836.

Read the following

No. 660.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 25th May 1836.

The Governor in Council proceeds to take into consideration the letter from the Honorable Court of Directors dated the 7th October 1835 No. 12.

Desiring that the Ceylon Government may be furnished with such information and assistance as will enable them satisfactorily to adjust the claims advanced by certain Temples on the Continent of India to employ boats in the Pearl Fisheries carried on at that Island.

Ordered, that a copy of this letter be furnished to the Board

Board of Revenue and that they be requested to enquire and report what temples either in the Tinnevelly or Madura District claim a right to employ boats in the Pearl fisheries which are carried on at Ceylon, the grounds on which such claims rest, and their opinion, if the claims be good, as to the best means by which they can be adjusted so as to be satisfactory to the parties interested in the rights of the Temples.—

(A true Extract)

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

No.

(102) 579 223

A Revenue Department

No. 12 of 1835.

Our Governor in Council
at
Fort St. George

We transmit for your information copy of a letter from R. W. Hay Esqre Under Secretary of State in the Colonial Department to Robert Gordon Esqre Secretary to the Board of Commissioners for the Affairs of India enclosing copy of Despatch from Sir R. W. Horton Governor of Ceylon respecting the right claimed by certain Temples on the Continent of India to employ boats in the Pearl Fisheries which are carried on at that Island, and

Dated 4 Augt 1835.

Dated 7th Feby 1835

we

we direct that you afford such information and assistance as may be in your power to His Majestys Government at Ceylon towards effecting such an adjustment of the question as may meet with the full consent of the Parties interested in the Rights of the Temples.–

London,–
the 7th October 1835 } (Signed) W. Stanley Clarke
J. R. Carnac
&ca &ca &ca

To
R. Gordon Esqre

Sir,

I am directed by Lord Glenelg to transmit to you for the consideration of the Commissioners for the Affairs of India, the accompanying

Copy

301

(104)

225

Feb 7/1835. copy of a despatch from the Governor of Ceylon respecting the right claimed by certain Temples on the Continent of India to employ boats in the Pearl Fisheries which are carried on at that Island and his Lordship having referred to previous correspondence on this subject, desires me to make the following observations.

He entertains no doubt that the Temples alluded to had formerly a right, which they derived from the Native Rulers of Ceylon, to participate in the Pearl Fisheries of the Island.

It was questioned by Sir R. W. Horton's Predecessor in the Government of Ceylon, whether

those

those grants did not become virtually
annulled by right of Conquest; but
it must be admitted that any
argument of this nature will lose
much of its force from the consider-
ation that the Indian Temples
have continued to enjoy their privileges
in this respect for a series of years
subsequent to the Capture of Ceylon.

Another question arose
as to a distinction which is supposed
to have existed in the case of a
whole fishery being sold to an
individual and a Fishery conducted on
account of Government - the latter
being the mode which it is intended
to adopt in future, but Lord Glenelg

does

does not consider the fact to have been clearly established, that in the latter case the Indian Temples were precluded from a participation in the Fishery.

It appears from the documents which were formerly transmitted to this department from Ceylon, that the grants made to the Indian Temples were in the nature of offerings presented by individual Princes of the Island to their favorite Goddesses or as private Gifts of Charity for which the individual who offered them was promised infinite blessings, and the permanency of these Gifts was anticipated by the denunciation of innumerable evils on those who should "obstruct" them. They do not seem to have

have proceeded upon any principle of national intercourse between the Indian Temples on the one hand and the Temples of Ceylon on the other.

The Colonial Government will in future conduct the Pearl Fisheries with the view of throwing open this resource to the natives of the Island, and the subject may therefore require further consideration.

Sir R. W. Horton's Predecessor has stated that seven boats were employed in the Fisheries on the part of the Indian Temples, and that these might be estimated at a medium Fishery to be worth from £250 to £300 each. Sir Robert Horton states the number of boats to be nine and he further

further represents that in 1833. they received above one fourth of the whole produce of the fishery, and as the fisheries will in future take place with greater frequency, the Boats belonging to the Temples will probably derive a still greater proportion.

Lord Glenelg, therefore proposes to direct the Governor of Ceylon to bring the whole Subject under the notice of the Council of his Government and to obtain their opinion

1st. As to the real nature of the grants made to the Indian Temples and whether they may be fairly characterized as private or political acts.

2d. Whether if the superstitious feelings of the Priests and chiefs in Ceylon were consulted they would be

found

found to extend to such a regard
for the interests and welfare of the
Temples on the Continent of India
as to desire a maintenance of the
grants in question, and—

3d Whether the Temples in Ceylon
derive advantages of any kind whatever
from the Indian Temples.—

But before His Lordship
makes this reference to the Colony
he would be glad to be favored
with any observation relative to this
subject which it may occur to the
Commissioners for the Affairs of India
to offer, and also to be informed
whether they would be prepared
to instruct the Madras Government to

co-operate

(1017) 507

co-operate with that of Ceylon in an equitable adjustment of this question

Downing Street
4 August 1835

(Signed) R. W. Hay

To

The Right Honorable
T. Spring Rice
&c &c &c

Sir,

In considering the arrangements to be made for the Pearl Fishery which will take place at Arippo next Month, my attention has been very forcibly drawn to the subject of the Boats allowed to certain Temples on the continent of India —

This subject was reported in my predecessor's despatch N 65 of the 15th December 1829, and Sir

George

George Murray's reply No. 21 of the 16th August 1830, conveyed his decision in favor of the Temples, which I would beg to observe, derive no direct benefit from this permission, in as much as the Madras Government receive their revenues under an arrangement existing between them.—

The instructions which have since been conveyed to me relative to the management of the Fisheries and particularly the recommendation that small Fisheries should be carried on in order that native speculators of small capital may have an opportunity of entering upon the speculation appear to me to call for a reconsideration of the Subject.—

These

These Temples claim a right which has been sanctioned at home of employing nine Boats whenever a Fishery is carried on – their share of the Revenue becomes therefore most unreasonable whenever a small Fishery is attempted.–

In 1833 several small Banks were fished – One Bank in particular was only sufficient to afford employment for twenty five boats for two days, yet under the directions contained in Sir George Murray's despatch, I felt myself compelled to permit the Temples to employ in addition nine Boats, they therefore received above one fourth of the whole produce. In the present Season it is probable that a similar occurrence will take place.–

The

The principal Bank to be fished this year, would most conveniently be fished by the employment of 100 Boats for 15 days, but as the Temples by employing nine Boats would carry off a little less than one twelfth of the produce without their being subjected to one farthing of expense. I have been compelled to employ One hundred and fifty Boats for ten days, under which arrangement they will only receive about a seventeenth portion although this arrangement is comparatively less convenient both to Government and to speculators.

On reference to the despatch of my predecessor, you will observe that a distinction is made

between

between the case of the whole Fishery being sold to an individual and a Fishery conducted on account of Government—

As it is now fully ascertained that the latter System which I have been directed to adopt with the view of throwing open the speculation to the inhabitants of the Colony, is on that and on every other account intrinsically preferable, it is probable that every further fishery will be equally conducted on account of Government— It is therefore well worthy of consideration whether admitting the right of the Temples where the whole fishery

is sold, they have an equal right when the fishery is conducted by Government. I beg to refer the whole subject to your most serious re-consideration.

The King's House
Colombo,
February 7th 1835

(Signed) R. W. Horton

(True Copies)

(Signed) H. J. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the despatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors be communicated to the Principal Collector of Madura and the Collector of Tinnevelly and also

also to the Principal Collector of South Arcot and the Collectors of Trichinopoly and Chingleput, who in reporting what temples in their respective districts claim a right to employ Boats in the Ceylon Pearl Fisheries and the grounds upon which those claims rest, will be pleased to state specifically with regard to each case the best means which after due enquiry may suggest themselves to them for effecting a satisfactory adjustment of the claims.

(A true Extract)

[signature]

Secretary

Recd. 31 July 1836 / B

Compd. [illegible] Lambert. [illegible]

(118)

Revenue Department

To

The Collector of

Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826, to transmit for your information, an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of April last.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

Dep. Secretary

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th July 1836

Recd 24th J.B.

Madura

# Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of April 1836

| No. of the Petitions | Names of the Petitioners | Purport of the Petitions | Orders of the Board |
|---|---|---|---|
| 233 | From Ramasawmy Naick son of Narana Naicker Natta-macar of Coocelamchary Village in the zillah of Madura | Adverts to orders of former Collector & prays that he be admitted with others to the enjoyment of his hereditary office | The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to dispose of the Case of the Petitioner. 11th April, 1836. |
| 367 | From Kistnasastry an Inhabitant of Kalamungalum subject to Duncanycotah in the Zillah of Salem | Prays that the Collector be instructed to collect the amount of certain Tank Repairs from one V. Basaviah the late proprietor | The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to dispose of this Petition by endorsement. 14th April 1836 |

(Ro)
603

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 368 | From Sauttahdah Sashyen Venkatakistnien and other cultivators of Korayoor Village in the Zillah of Madura | Advert to former Petition complaining of the increased demand, to which they are subjected, in their Lands — | The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to enquire into the circumstances stated in this Petition and to pass such orders thereon as may be required<br>14th April 1836 — |
| 370 | From Valayada Goundcul, Maroodagounden and others of Tyempully Talook in the Zillah of Madura | Complain of the imposition of a fine and of other vexatious conduct of the Circar servants — | Petitioners are referred to the Principal Collector of Madura —<br>14th April 1836 |
| 317 | From Kistnasawmy Goundencate Nattamgar of Alambady Village in the Zillah of Madura — | Complains of having been removed from his hereditary office in the Collectorate of Mr Peter thro' the influence of one | The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to dispose of this Petition<br>28th April 1836 — |

...24th 18...

True Extract

[signature]
Dy. Secretary

Compd. Ind.

(113)

(114) 617

Revenue Department.

243

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Dated 18th July 1836.

"Read the following letter from the Assistant Collector of Madura dated 5th July 1836.

[Here enter No. 69.]

It appears from the Sunnud submitted with the foregoing letter that the grant in question was made for the performance of a service – the Board therefore sanction its continuance in the name of Abdool Reza from the date of the last incumbent's death."

(A true Extract)

R. Porter
Secretary.

Recd. 26th J.B.

Compd. J. Lambert D.S.

(115) 499

245

No 760

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under Date the 21st June 1836

Read the following letter

No 43

: Re.

To

H Chamier Esqre

Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort St George

Sir

The attention of the Supreme Government has recently been attracted to the question of providing means for carrying into effect such Municipal improvements as may be necessary or desirable for the Security or Comfort

of

of the numerous opulent and populous Towns throughout India.

2 It has occurred to his Lordship in Council, that as the Town duties have now been given up within the Presidency of Fort William in Bengal, the Inhabitants of the Large Towns may fairly be called upon to contribute to defraying the expense of such improvements as are required for their own convenience; and that within the Presidencies of Fort St. George and Bombay (where the Town duties have not yet been given up) it may be proper that a portion of that Impost may be reserved when the boon that has been lately granted to the Towns of the Presidency of Fort William

William in Bengal, may be extended to those of Fort St. George and Bombay.

3 It is desirable in the opinion of the Governor General in Council that some general plan should be devised to meet the Municipal Contingent expenses of the nature adverted to, and in order to obtain the best available materials for forming an opinion on the subject, I am directed to request that you will, with the sanction of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, obtain replies from the several Magistrates within the Presidency of Fort St. George to the following queries.—

1st In the large Towns of your District

District what method is resorted to for repairing the Walls and Streets for promoting the cleanliness of the Town and for the general preservation of the health and comfort of the Inhabitants?

2nd. If any Tax or Impost is collected for Municipal purposes be pleased to detail the nature and particulars of it and the mode in which it is collected.

3rd. Is such Tax or Impost collected separately from, or as a part of the Town duties? and supposing these duties to be abolished, would such Tax or Impost be absorbed in them or continue to be levied?

4th. If the expense of

cleaning

cleaning and repairing the Town is charged to the Town duties, how would you propose to defray the charge in the event of the Town duties being no longer available?

5 If you would propose to defray such expenses by means of a tax on the Inhabitants, to what Towns in your District would you propose to extend the plan, and by what means would you levy and disburse the amount assessed, whether by a Committee of the Inhabitants, or by means of the Servants of Government, or by a Committee composed of both Classes?

6th Be pleased to afford the best information in your power as to the sum annually required

for.

for the cleaning and repairing of the several Towns with your sentiments as to the least exceptionable mode of raising it.

Fort William } (Signed) W H Macnaghten
the 30th May 1836 } Secy to the Govt of India

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue who will call upon the several Collectors under this Presidency for replies to the enquiries contained in the above letter and after receipt submit them

them to Government accom-
panied by their own
opinion of the proposed
measure.

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Extract from the Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue dated 25 July 1836.

Ordered to be com-
municated to the several Collectors
for their early report.

(True Extract)

R. Porter
Secretary

Recd 6th Augst J.B.

[illegible] Lambert D. P. Ass.

(117)
(~~123~~)

263

Q

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 8th. August 1836

Ordered that copies of Paras 1.2.4 & 5 of the forgoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be communicated to the Principal Collector of Madura for his information and guidance.

(True Copy & Extract)

A P Ri[illegible]

Secretary

Recd 17th [illegible]

Compd. [illegible] P. 13 Aug.

(120) 6/0 Revenue Department.

255

L.

# Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 8th July 1836.

* * * *

Read also the following letter from the Deputy Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Submitting a correspondence with the Principal Collector of Salem on the subject of two applications for Grants of Land under the established rules for the endowment of Choultries in his District built for the accommodation of Native Travellers, and recommending that the applications be complied with and that grants of waste land as suggested by Mr. Orr of the annual value of Fifty Rupees may be sanctioned for the endowment of each Choultry so long as they may be appropriated to the purposes for which they are intended.

Here enter 13th June 1836. No. 327.

Para 1. The Government of India having

having in a recent letter explained that their orders prohibiting grants of land were not intended to affect the Rules which have already received the sanction of the Honorable Court of Directors, the Governor in Council is pleased, with reference to the sum expended in building the two Chuttrums in the villages of Yamakalapooram and Chittumputty in the District of Madura, to authorise the Principal Collector to grant for the support of each, unoccupied land yielding 40 Rupees per Annum. 30th May 1836.

2. The Governor in Council cancels the order of Government

dated

dated 4th November 1831 conferring the grant of waste land of the annual value of 60 Rupees for the support of a Choultry built by Soobba Naik, the monthly expences of which are stated to have been discontinued.

4 As it appears from the correspondence which accompanied the foregoing letters that Choultries for the reception of Travellers are built under the permission of the Tahsildars, but more frequently of the Collectors,* the Governor in Council considering the practice objectionable resolves that applications to build should be submitted for the previous sanction of the Board of

Madura.

Madura & Salem.

Revenue

Revenue. Choultries built without such sanction will not be entitled to the support of Government.

5. The Governor in Council also considers it expedient that on the completion of a building of this description, the inhabitants of the station and its neighbourhood should be informed by beat of Tom-tom, that the place is open for the reception of all Travellers.

(True Extract)

Recd 17th Aug 1813 (Signed) H Chamier

Chief Secretary

Extract

786 (122) 259

Revenue Department

To

&c.

The Principal Collector of

Madura.

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 4th Instant, reporting upon Petition No. 499 of 1836 and in reply to state that in consequence of his long possession as well as by virtue of the Purvanah produced by the Petitioner, it seems proper that he should be permitted to hold the garden free from the assessment introduced in similar lands in fusly 1245.

2 The original Petition is herewith

herewith returned.

Revenue Bd. Office,
Fort St. George
22d. August 1830

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your Most obedient Servant

R Potter
Sec. Secretary

Dd. 26
Recd 29th [illegible]

Comp. [illegible]

788

~~(1227)~~ (122)

790 (123)

Revenue Department.

261

# Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Dated 22nd August 1836.

&c.

Read the following letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 6th August 1836.

[Here enter No. 87.]

The Board approve of the arrangement proposed in the foregoing letter to be put in force for the management of the affairs of the Ramasarum Devastanum, in consequence of the dissensions between the Zemindar and the Pundarum. The Principal Collector will report to the Board when he considers it proper to restore the

management

management of the Devasttanum to the Pundarum."

(A true Extract)

K. Webb
Secretary.—

Recd 31 August 1811.

Comp: Ambert

No. 27.

812

(124a)

Revenue Department

263

Re

To

The Collector of

Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826, to transmit for your information, an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of May last.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

R. Porter

Depy. Secretary

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29 August 1836

Dr. Reply

Recd 5 Sepr JB

792

(123)

(125)

# Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of May 1836.. Madura

| No. | Names of the Petitioners | Purport of the Petitions | Orders of the Board.. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 360 of 1836 | From Aroopoocottah Anayapa Pillay Grand Son of Anayapa Pillay of Cullecoody Village in the Zillah of Madura.. | Complaining of an Extra demand on his lands contrary to the settlement observed since the time of Mr. Hurdis.. | The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to dispose of this petition by endorsement.. 2d May 1836.. |
| 335 | From Mootoosawmy Pillay and Mootoocoomaroo Pillay Curnums of Tencara Village in the Zillah of Madura. | Complain of the decision of the Collector relative to certain lands on a partial report by the Tahsildar, and enclose documents in support of their claims.. | The Principal Collector of Madura will be pleased to enquire into the circumstances set forth in this address and will note the orders he may pass thereon by endorsement for the information of the Board.. 2d May 1836.. |

814

265

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 3778 1835 | From Rungasawmy Chetty son of Gopalen Chetty, trader in Salt residing at Yerichendum Village in the Zillah of Madura. | Prays for employment in the Salt Pans of the district. | Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Madura. 2d May 1836. |
| 09 | From Ramasawmy Naick son of Chinnama Naick Meerasy Nattamcar of Paroongamanalloor in the Zillah of Madura. | Prays to be installed in the Village Office enjoyed by his ancestors. | Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Madura 2d May 1836. |
| 11f 1836 | From Cadur Naicker son of Pariyavetoocara Sacama Naick Zemindar of Yarasanayacanoor in the Zillah of Madura | Prays to be recognized as successor to the Zemindary in lieu of the present incumbent. | Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Madura. 9th May 1836. |

818

(126)

816

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 404 | From Laumynada Pillay late Meerassy Number Curnum of Coomarapatty Village in the Zillah of Madura.. | Prays to be re-in:stated in the Office of Curnum and brings to notice certain frauds alleged to be practised by the present incumbent.. | Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Madura who will dispose of this petition.. 9th May 1836. |
| 431 of 1835 | From Ambalavana Moodely Appoovoyen and others Curnums of different Villages of Marungapoory Talook in the Zillah of Madura. | Complain that they receive no remuneration for Cadjans supplied to the public Servants &ca.. | Referred for disposal to the Principal Collector of Madura, who will pass such orders in the matter complained of as may seem to be required.. 16th May 1836. |
| 451 | From Seenamooty Naick son of Sooroovapa Naick of Seroomaneeom Village in the Zillah of Madura. | Prays to be nominat:ed to the Office of Nottumgar held by his father.. | Referred to the Princl. Collector of Madura for enquiry and disposal.. 16th May 1836.. |

26

817

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 44th of 1836 From Soobramaneya Pillay son of Lingaramoortya Pillay Inhabitant of Keeraythoory in the Zillah of Madura. | Complains he has been prevented from drawing Toddy and manufacturing Jaghery from the Palmira trees of his Village according to the usage of the Country. | The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to report by endorsement on the statement contained in this petition. 23rd May 1836. |

(True Extract)

C. H. Porter

Dy Secretary

Recd 5th Septr 1836 JS

vide letter to B^d 16^th Dec 34.

(134) 808 (127)

263

No. 1121

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Gentlemen,

Revenue Dep^t.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your Secretary's letter dated the 11^th Instant enclosing correspondence with the Principal Collector of Madura on the subject of the statements made in petition No. 1094 of 1836 forwarded to you on the 2^d Instant and to request that you will state on what tenure the lands in question were held by the petitioners prior to the new arrangement, or whether

the

the management of them was in the
hands of the Collector and the
proceeds paid by him into their hands
as realized - You will also be pleased
to state under the authority of what
Regulation your Board authorized
the resumption of the revenues which
had been up to that period enjoyed
by the Petitioners and their transfer
-ence to the funds of the Pagoda - It is
observed that the arrangement in
question was originally suggested
by Mr. Sub Collector Wroughton with
the view of obtaining the means of
putting the Pagoda in a state of
repair, the Governor in Council

desires

(~~132~~) 801 (128) 271

desires to be informed whether the funds thus obtained were applied to the purpose specified, as well as the manner in which they are actually appropriated at the present moment. The opinion of the present Collector as to the propriety of the arrangement, is not given in his letter of the 16th December 1834, nor does it appear to have been ever called for by your Board.

Fort St. George, 27th August 1836.

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secy.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 5th September 1836.

Ordered that a copy of the

the foregoing letter be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Madura for his immediate report, with reference to the correspondence noted in the margin

From the Collr 23d Septr 1831
To 3d Octr "
From 16th Decr 1834
To – 19th Jany 1835

(A true Extract)

Recd 18th Sepr 1835

[signature]

Secretary

Compd J Lambert

No 13.

644

(124)

Revenue Department

223

To

The Principal Collector of

Madura

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the list submitted by you under date 18th Ultimo, recommending the continuance of a service yeomiah.

2 In this list the name of the last incumbent is stated to be Ramjanshaw No. 67, whereas in the list submitted by Mr. Parish in 1804 and by Mr. Peter in 1812 the name entered opposite to No. 67 is Rymann Shaw.

From Mr. Parish 9th November 1804.
From Mr. Peter 29th February 1812.

The

The Board wish to know whether this [illegible] is the individual you mean, and if so, that you will correct the name in your list for which purpose it is returned to you—

Revenue Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
8th September 1830

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant

[signature]
Secretary—

D8. Recd 13th B

Cor. [illegible] Lambert

848 Revenue Department

(139)

275

To the Principal Collector of

Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 30th Ultimo, and in reply to state that the Board of Revenue under your explanation are pleased to authorize the erection of a Chuttrum at Davadanaputty by Annanjee Row, the necessary application for a grant of land will be submitted for sanction on the completion of the Building.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

Revenue Bd Office,
Fort St. George,
12th September 1836.

Secretary.

S.12th Recd 18th /13

Comp. Lukambert

130

890

(135)

Revenue Department

To

The Principal Collector of
Madura

Sir,

With reference to the

11 July 1836

order of the Board on an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th May last, I am directed to request that the information therein required regarding the claims advanced by certain Temples to employ boats in the Ceylon Pearl Fishery may be submitted with all possible despatch.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt Servt

Revr Bd Office,
Fort St George,
26th Septr 1836
5 Oct.

Recd 7 Oct 36

comp Lambert

Walter
Dy Secretary

924

(732)

Revenue Department

U
24 Oct

277

2

To
The Collector of
Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826 to transmit for your information, an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of June last.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant,
R Porter
Dep. Secretary

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
17 October 1836

Madura.

# Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of June 1836.

| No | Names of the Petitioners | Purport of the Petitions | Orders of the Board |
|---|---|---|---|
| 680 of 1825 | From Perapun a Poty residing at Avaneyapoorum the Zillah of Madura. | Complains that one shadayen has been admitted to a share of a Meerasee | The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to dispose of this Petition by endorsement. 2d June, 1836. |
| 470 | From Coopayan Ambalacarun & Coroopanen Ambalacarun residing at Cootapen Ambalacarunputtee in the Zillah of Madura. | Complain that the Pl. Collector has passed a decision against them contrary to a former decision on the same matter by the Sub Collr. Mr Lockhart. | The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to dispose of this Petition by endorsement. 2d June 1836. |

(137)

927

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 524 of 1835 | From Shake Booranoodeen nephew of Shake Mahomed Cateeb of the Mosque of Teroovoyanulloor in the Zillah of Madura. — | Complaint of a decision of the Principal Collector in favor of one Goolam Hussain. | The Principal Collector of Madura will report by endorsement on the case of the Petitioner. — 2d June 1836. |
| 769 | From Vellatemly Saveri & ca 7 persons residing at Ramnad. | Complaint of having been beaten and plundered by the Sayer Aumeen of Ramnad poorum. | The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to pass such orders in the matter to which the Petition refers as may seem to be required. — 9th June 1836. |

928

(1384)

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 494 of 1836. | From Solamalaya Pillay and Nullatombeya Pillay Meerassy Curnam of Tindyoor &c Villages in the Zillah of Madura. | Pray to be appointed to the Meerassy Office of their Father to which others were appointed on account of their minority at the period of his demise. | Referred for enquiry to the Principal Collector of Madura, who will pass such orders in the case of the Petitioners as may seem to be required. 13th June, 1836. |
| 495 | From Solaymalaya Pillay and Ramanada Pillay Meerassy Curnams of Sittacoor &c Villages in the Zillah of Madura. | Pray to be appointed to the Curneeam Meerassy of certain Villages enjoyed by their late father. | Do. Do. Do. |

B. No. 63

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 822. 1836. | From Soobramaniya Pillay son of Rengaramoortya Pillay of Keraytooray in Madanoolum Talook in Madura | Praying to be allowed to manufacture Jaghery from his Palmyra Trees. | Referred to the Principal Collector of Madura with reference to the Board's endorsement on a Petition from the same party under date the 23rd May 1836. 13th June 1836. |
| 491. | From Seenase Iyen late Rayasum of Maroongapoory Talook in the Zillah of Madura. | Complains that he was unjustly deprived of his situation and prays for orders that he may be restored to his former situation | Referred to the Principal Collector of Madura for enquiry and disposal. 18th June 1836. |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 509 | From Mahomed Abbasally son of Mohomedally mully Khan; late Killadar of Madura. | Complains of an assess-ment recently imposed by the Principal Collector on a certain Garden heretofore enjoy-ed as a Surva Manium. Prays also for employment in the Public Service. | The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to dispose of this Petition by endorse-ment. 16th June 1836. |
| 478 | From Coomara Pillay late Police Rayasum of Todecombao Taluk in the Zillah of Madura. | Prays to be reentertain-ed in a situation from which he was dismissed in 1829. | Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Madura, who will avail himself of Petitioner's services if he should think fit. 16th June 1836. |

(135)

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 484 / 1836 | From Ramasawmy Naick son of Gopala Naick deceased resident of Ramachundrapoorum in Madura. — | Complaining of the orders of the Principal Collr. on an alleged false address from his adoptive Mother. — | The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to report by endorsement on this Petition. — 16th June 1836. — |
| 545 | From Savary raya Pillay | Prays for enquiry into the right of possession of a certain Church and objects to a decision of the Assistant in favor of a Goa Priest. — | Referred to the Principal Collector of Madura for enquiry and for such further orders as may seem to be required. — 23rd June 1836. — |
| 800 / 1836 | From Vyde Iyen son of Mooroosawmy Iyen Maniagar Nattamacar of Yetchamada | Complaining of the removal of his father from Office to which he prays to be | Referred for enquiry and disposal to the Principal Collector of Madura. — |

Madura.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 825 | From Ramasawmy Iyen, Sabapaty Iyen and others residing at Ramasvarum in the Zillah of Madura | Complain that their Survamaneyem lands have been taxed contrary to usage. | The Principal Collr. of Madura is requested to report on the case of the Petitioners. — 23d June 1836. — |
| 856 | From Valoomooroogasa-roova Carum, Poosary & Palaneyandy, residing at Aravanumcooroolly in the Zillah of Madura. | Pray that inquiry be made into certain alleged frauds of the Village Curnums. — | Referred to the Principal Collector of Madura for such inquiry as he may think fit. — 23d June, 1836. — |

(True Extract)

[signature]

932

(136)

204

908

291

# List of the Relations of a deceased Jomeadar recommended for the Continuance of the Jomeah allowance in the Districts of Madura and Dindigul —

| No. | Former Jomeadar | Present Claimant | Relationship to the deceased | Allowance P. day | For what purpose granted | when discontinued | Remarks | Remarks of the Board | Orders of Government |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 67. | Ranjinshaw | Madar saw | Son Aged 17 years | 1 Cully fanam | For Regulating the Ceremonies of a Mosque in the fort of Madura | 17th June 1836. | The deceased has left besides this son, a Widow Fatimah [illegible] Aged 35 years & another son [illegible] Kadeinshah of 12 years of age & it appears from the Report of the Tahsildar of Maudacolum, that he left no property whatever, but dependance being solely on the Jomeah. The Principal Collector begs to recommend the Continuance of it to the Claimant as it is entered in Mr. Parish's list of 9th November 1804 as an hereditory service Jomeah<br><br>Madura 16th August 1836<br>[illegible]<br>J W Cockburn<br>Princl Collector | This being a service yeomiah the Bd. beg to recommend that it may be renewed in the name of Madar Saw the eldest son of the last Incumbent from the 17th June 1836 the date of his death.<br><br>Revd. Bd. Office<br>Fort St. George<br>3d. Oct. 1836<br><br>By order of the Board of Revd.<br>[illegible]<br>Secy.<br><br>Compd.<br>[illegible] | No. 67. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for renewing in the name of Madar Saw, the allowance of one Cully fanam per diem enjoyed by his late father Ranjinshaw from the date of the latter's death on the 17th June 1836 provided that the Ceremonies in the Mosque in the Fort at Madura are duly regulated of which the Principal Collector will previously satisfy himself.<br><br>Fort St. George,<br>18th October, 1836.<br><br>By order.<br>[illegible]<br>Chief Secy.<br>[illegible] |

Returned 13 [illegible] [illegible]

List of the Relations
of a Deceased Jemea
-dar recommended
for the Continuance
of the Yomeah allow
-ance in the Districts
of Madura and
Dindigul

[illegible]

[illegible]

No 2230
From the Pr Collr of Madura
Dated 16 August 1836
Recd 21
[illegible] 29 August

(138) 998 Revenue Department

(43)

293 &c.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 24th October 1836.

Read the following letter.

No. 910

To the Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Sir,

In reference to the Minutes of Consultation of Government No. 2185 dated 19th July last, regarding Medical Topography I have the honor by order of the Medical Board to request, that the Board of Revenue will be pleased to furnish them with such information on the following topics as may lie in their power, viz.-

The

The general population of every Village throughout the several Provinces within the Madras Territory.

The trades and manufactures peculiar to each.

The nature of the soil and its produce.

Whether disease of any description, such as Fever, Small pox, or any other, becomes epidemic at any particular season of the year and when.

Whether there is any endemic disease peculiar to any Village and its supposed cause, if it can be ascertained.

The Medical Board beg leave to suggest to the Board of Revenue that all Collectors and Civil Officers attached

attached to Collectorates be requested to afford information to local Medical Officers upon all points connected with Population, Agriculture; Roads; Dwellings; Habits of the Natives with reference to food, sleep, bedding, & clothing, ventilation, congregating together in numbers in one small house, or apartment, and general health. The nature of the Fuel used, whether wood, charcoal, or bratties, amusements, labour, morals, and general system of police. Marriages, births, mortality, and its causes. The diseases of cattle, the period when such diseases prevail and the native mode of cure. Native Establishments

for

for sick, if any, how conducted and supported, and their usefulness. And lastly Longevity of males, females, and children.–

3 I am further desired to transmit, herewith twenty pamphlets, containing hints on Topography with a request, that your Board would be so good as to distribute them amongst Collectors, as they may see fit, with an intimation, that the Medical Board will be most happy to receive any communications on the subject with which they may be favored and which will be highly appreciated, from the well known abilities and acquirements

of

1102
(140) (1~~4~~)

of the Civil service so peculiarly &
rendering them capable of affording
information of such an interesting,
and useful nature.-

Fort St. George,
Medical Bd. Office
10th October 1836.

(Signed) Wm. Rob: Smyth
Secy Medical Board.

Ordered that a copy of the
foregoing letter and of the pamphlet
which accompanied it be transmitted
to each of the Collectors in the province,
who will be pleased to furnish the
medical authorities with any in-
-formation they may be able on the
points alluded to:-

(A true Extract)

R Hooten[?]

Dy Secretary.-

... 10th Nov ...

Comp. ... 1st Nov

— vide letter to B^d 21 Sep 36.

914
(141)

(143)

Revenue Department

299

Re

# Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 6^th October 1836.

Read letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 21^st September 1836.—

(Here enter N^o. 117)

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information of Government with reference to the letter from the Chief Secretary dated 27^th August last.—

The sanction of the Board to the Proceedings of the late Collector was given by them under the powers vested in them by Regulation VII

915

of 1817.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Secretary.

No. 1406.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 25th October, 1836.

Read the following Extract
from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 6th October 1836 No. 563)

Resolved that the
following Order be passed on Petitions
Nos. 1094 and 1713 of 1836.

Order

"It appears that the Petiti-
oners receive an adequate remuneration
for their services, and that the
surplus funds of the Pagoda are
appropriated

916

(143)

appropriated to its repair."

(A true Extract)

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

(True copies.)

[illegible]
Secretary

Ordd. Pd. Collector of
Madura
with reference to his letter
2d September 1836
Fd. 4 Novr.
Recd. 9th [illegible]

Compd. [illegible]

1058

(143)

303

Revenue Department

To
The Collector of
Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826 to transmit for your information, an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of July last.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
[signature]
Dep. Secretary

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th November 1836
Dd 17th Read 20th/11

Madura

Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of July 1836

| Nos of the Petitions | Names of the Petitioners | Purport of the Petitions | Orders of the Board |
|---|---|---|---|
| 415 of 1835 | from Pereyamoodaleyalava son of Madoopur [illegible] residing at Ramanadam the Zillah of Madura. | Prays for orders to return his deposit money and to collect the balance of the Fusly 1243 from all the securities. | Referred to the Princl. Collector of Madura for disposal by endorsement 11th July 1836. |
| 702 | from Beer Mahomedally partner to the Yeomiah No. 66 of Madura | Prays for the continuance of his yeomiah — | Referred to the Principal Collector of Madura for such orders as may seem to be required — 11th July 1836. |
| 53 | from Veerappa Moodely Mattoo Curnum of [illegible] -lapoor (by purchase) a Village belonging to Danooscoty Chetty in the Zillah of Madura | Complains that the Office of Curnum is improperly enjoyed by another | Referred to the Princl. Collector of Madura for Enquiry and disposal. 16 July 1836 |
| Bd. No. 84 of 1836 | from Ramasawmy Naicker residing at Soondara-poorum in Teroomunga-lum Talook in Madura | Stating that he was adopted by one Gopaul Naick and praying orders to be continued in the possession of his property | Referred to the Princl. Collector of Madura for enquiry and disposal 21st July 1836 |
| Bd. No. 82 of 1836 | from Chinnasawmy alias Poosagooroo Tevan of Padamatoor in the Zemindary of Shevagunga in Madura | Praying to be put in possession of certain Villages of which he is deprived by his Brother — | Referred for such orders as may seem necessary to the Principal Collector of Madura — 25th July 1836 |
| 654 of 1836 | from Poolavan Chetty Mattoo-Sungaram Chetty and others weavers of Pallamadom in the Zillah of Madura — | Complain of a recent order by the Principal Collector and pray that the Sayer Renter may be instructed to grant free Rowanahs for their private cloths. | The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to report by endorsement on this Petition: — 25th July 1836. — |

(True Extract)

R. H. Porter
Dy. Secretary.

Recd. 8th November [initials]

Compd. [illegible]

1041

1042

(159)

1091

145

Revenue Department

No. 1613. Re

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 28th November 1836

Read the following Extract
from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

[Here enter 24th October 1836 No. 605.]

Para 1. The Right Honorable the
Governor in Council has derived much
satisfaction from a consideration of
the report of the District of Madura
for Fusly 1245, which shows that Mr.
Blackburne takes a lively interest in
the prosperity of the Province, and
in the Welfare of the inhabitants under
his

his charge. He observes "that the Settle-
ment of that year is higher in amount
than that of any former year since
the District came under the Company's
rule."

2 The net Increase of the Settle-
ment of Fusly 1265 is Rupees 111982. over
that of the preceding year. The Collec-
tions within the Fusly were Rupees 15,82,863.
6.1, which appear to have been realized
with great facility. The Principal Col-
lector reports that "the District flourishes,"
and from para 7 of the Board's Proceedings
it is observed that its affairs have been
"gradually and constantly" improving
since Fusly 1261.

3 Such a result is highly
satisfactory, and reflects great credit
on

1093 (146)

on the management of the Principal Collector Mr. Blackburne and his Predecessors in Office. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council has been also much gratified by the favorable mention made by the Principal Collector and the Board of the exertions of the Head Sheristadar Sheshageery Row, and while he desires that the high opinion entertained of the services of Sheshageery Row may be communicated to that individual, he regrets that the necessity of preserving the standing rules by which the Sheristadars allowances are regulated unimpaired, should preclude him from sanctioning an immediate increase to the Salary of the Sheristadar.

Proceedings Para 28.

The

4. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council approves of the considera-tion shown by the Board in para 20 to the Zemindar of Gootamanaickenoor, and is anxious to receive the report called for by them in paras 2-4 and 20 ad-finem explanatory of the great influx of Ryots and the great extent to which the cultivation has been carried in the Madura Collectorate during the year under review.

5. The testimony borne by the Principal Collector to the good manage-ment of the Ramnad Estate is very pleasing, and the Government feel assured that it will be read with great interest by the authorities in England.

6. With regard to the

embarrassments

1095

embarrassments of the Shevagunga Estate, the most sedulous attention of the Board of Revenue is required the account given by Mr Blackburne presents a distressing contrast to that relating to Ramnad, and renders the Government anxious to receive the result of the Board's enquiry adverted to in Minutes of Consultation No 1120 of 27th August last with such further observations as they may deem it necessary to offer.

Para 15

7 The Right Honorable the Governor in Council does not observe that the information required in Para 6 of Minutes of Consultation No 545 of 3d May last has been furnished.

8. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council desires to be informed

whether

whether the land upon which the Cocoanut Trees are grown in Madura is assessed, as well as the Trees, and if so, what has led to such a deviation from the ordinary rules of Ryotwar Assessment.

9 He attaches particular importance to the preservation of the Survey Records in all the Districts, and desires that the attention of Collectors may be called to this subject. The Board of Revenue will be pleased to transmit to Government the information which the Principal Collector has been called upon to furnish respecting the large emigration of Ryots into the Madura District in Fusly 1245 as soon as it may be received.

(True Extract)
(Signed) H. G. Chamier
Chief Secretary

To The Pl. Collector of Madura.

(A true copy)

[illegible]

Wt. with reference to the Boards Proceedings of the 24 October 1836 — Do. 12 Decr.

Compd. [illegible] Recd. 16th Decr. [illegible]

1058 (148)

Revenue Department

No. 646.

315

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government.

Sir,

To the Chief Secy. 6th Decr. 1820
From Do. 5th January 1821.

2d. Col. in Co. 4th Novr. 1836.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Madura, reporting the death of the Zemindar of Nellacota, and to solicit the sanction of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to continue the usual Commission of 10 per Cent to the deceased's Brother Teroovengada Gopal Naicken.

Revr. Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
14th November 1836.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Secretary

Extract

1059

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 29th November 1836.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the continuance of the allowance enjoyed by the late Zemindar of Nellacottah to his brother Iroovengada Gopal Naicker from the date of the former's death.

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary.

(True Copies)

To the Prl. Collector of Madura
With reference to his letter of the 26th October 1836.

Prl. Zoth Peck
Recd 25th Decr /B

[signature]
Secretary.

Compd. [signature]

160 (148)

(155)

vide letter to ~~B~~ 30 Nov. 36.

~~20 D9~~ 1129

(158) (149)

Revenue Department

317

Ret

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 12th December 1836.

Read letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 30th November 1836.

(Here Enter No. 158.)

The Board approve of the decision passed in the case referred to in the foregoing letter.

(A True Extract)

[signature]

Secretary.

Recd 20th Decr JB

Comp. J. Lambert D. 154

1062

(150)

(153)

Revenue Department

319

No. 1558.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 17th November 1836.

Read the following letter.

L

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

Fort St George.

Sir,

The recent act of the legislature equalizing the duties on East and West Indian sugar, having rendered its extended production in this country as an article of export a matter of the first importance

importance, I am induced to solicit the
attention of Government to some
suggestions for the attainment
of this object. I confess it is not
without hesitation that I do so
because my views being una-
voidably deduced from few
facts, are in a great measure
theoretical, and may be found
when subjected to the test of
experiment inapplicable to
practice. Urged however by
the greatness of the commer-
cial advantages that will
result from success, and by
the conviction that the required
experiments may be made at
a very trifling cost, I take the
liberty, even at the risk of fruit-
lessly occupying the time of
the

(151) 1064 (156)

the Honorable the Governor in Council, of requesting you to do me the honor of submitting them for his consideration, in the confident hopes of procuring his aid, in having them subjected to the only ordeal that can determine their value a careful trial.—

The question to be determined is whether or not sugar canes can be grown successfully in this country, as it is in the Mauritius and west Indies, without irrigation? If experiment answers, as I fully expect it will, this question in the affirmative, then the vast tracts of land in Coromandel possessing as soils similar

in

in kind to that of the finest
and most productive Sugar
farms of the Mauritius ...
will enable us to cultivate
the cane to any extent and
supply Sugar of the best quality.
The general, I believe
universal practice in India
is to select for it, low lying spots
having a dark grey or black ...
soil and enjoying facilities for
irrigation. In riding from
my encampment to one of ...
these spots, distant between 5
and 6 miles, the road for the
greater part of the way lay ...
through a dry grain Country,
and not seldom across the fields.
The predominant Crops were ...
Cholums and Cumbos both very
large

(157) (152) 323

large and luxuriant growing plants, and, both at this time, though no rain had fallen for nearly a month, and the soil appeared absolutely without moisture, in the fullest vigour and fresh-ness. On arriving at the cane fields the difference for the worse was very striking: it was, though nearly four months old, small and puny, and the cultivators already began to fear a failure of the crop. Is it possible to account for the difference? The explanation that occurs to me is, that from the long continued practice of cultivating the sugar cane as a wet crop, it has lost the power of resisting drought.

with which in common with most tropical land plants it is endowed by nature to a very great degree, and has acquired the habits and peculiarities of an aquatic plant. The supply of water this season being deficient the consequence above stated was the result.

I took advantage of the Ryots' fears to call their attention to the great difference between the condition of the canes and Cholum crops, informed them that it was the practice in other countries to grow the canes on soil similar to that on which they grow the Cholum (brownish loam) and likewise without water that the returns were not

only

(153) (158) 1668 325

only much greater but the Sugar sweeter than that from watered lands and recommended them to try the same plan. A State-ment so diametrically opposed to their constant practice and preconceived ideas, could not be credited, and it was not with-out much persuasion that they could even be induced to agree to try the inexpensive experiment of planting some canes among their Cholum, and leave them to take their chance.-

Further reflection on the subject tends to confirm the justness of this opinion. For example, it is well known that plants growing in conge-nial soils require comparatively

little

little artificial aid, even under
otherwise unfavorable circumstances,
to enable them, not only to live,
but to bring their productions
to a high degree of perfection;
while such as are not so situa-
-ted, cannot with almost any
management be made to do so.
The investigations of Mr Ped-
-dington have shown, that the
finest sugar soils (that is those
most congenial to the plant)
of the Mauritius, contain a con-
-siderable percentage of calcareous
matter and red oxyde of iron, —
whence he infers, that the presence
of these ingredients is essential
to a good sugar soil, because,
in such the cane there attains its
greatest perfection, both as to size

and

1070 (154)

and productiveness. The red soils
of Coromandel agree in the pos-
-sessions of these ingredients a[illegible]
and may justly, in the present
state of our knowledge, be sup-
-posed favorable, while the black
and grey ones which differ in
their chemical constitution, may
on like grounds, exclusive of ex-
-perience, be considered un-
-favorable. On the former there-
-fore we have every reason to
expect the cane will succeed
if not equal to that on the
Islands, at least so well as
to render its cultivation on them
as a dry crop more profitable
than it now is on the black
ones as a wet one. Should ex-
periment prove this deduction

correct

correct it will afford us a more satisfactory explanation of the greater success which has hitherto attended the island cultivation of the cane, than any yet offered and redoubles our inducements to extend its culture on the continent.

For these I trust satisfactory reasons, I would urge the institution of a course of experiments under the conduct of better qualified persons than unwilling and disbelieving Ryots, and not to be contented with one or two trials of the country cane which from its changed condition will most probably fail, but in the event of this anticipated result taking

place

place, with canes procured direct from the Mauritius. If the country cane can by a progressive change in the mode of culture be accommodated to an altered system of management, it seems not improbable that its quality will be improved, which it is desirable to ascertain, since it will make us so much the more independent of foreign supplies.—

Salem,
1st November, 1836 } Signed Robert Wight
Surgeon

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council considering it desirable that every encouragement should be given towards the successful prosecution of

of the experiments suggested by
Surgeon Wight resolves to trans-
-mit a copy of his letter to the
Board of Revenue with instruc-
-tions to suggest the best means
for attaining this object.

The suggestions of
Dr Wight are very valuable
and based upon sound analogy,
but accustomed as the Natives
of India have been to cultivate
the Sugar cane plant on lands
the most fertile and possessing
abundant means of irrigation,
it cannot be supposed that
they will readily undertake
experiments the results of which
would appear to them uncertain
without some strong induce-
-ment. It occurs to the Right
Honorable

(156) 1074 (153)

Honorable the Governor in Council
that the partial, or, if necessary,
even the entire remission of the
land which may be allotted
to these experiments, for two or
three years would be a consid-
-ation to the cultivator to induce
him to bestow his labor and
attention, and if the Board
of Revenue be of the same
opinion, he will have no
objection to authorize the com-
-paratively small sacrifice
much however, will depend
on the interest taken in
the matter by the local c.
Officers, to whom should be
left the selection of such person
as they may consider from
their knowledge, enterprize

and

10-70

and spirit calculated to bring the experiments to a successful issue should however this inducement prove insufficient the Board of Revenue will suggest some other means of making the trial.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is desirous that immediate arrangements should be made for procuring a supply of the cane grown in the Mauritius for the above experiments the Board will therefore adopt the necessary steps for the purpose.

(A true Extract)

(Signed) H. Chamier

Chief Secretary

Extract

(157) 1077 (1~~07~~) L.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 15th December 1835.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be communicated to the several Collectors, who will be pleased to submit their opinions as to the best methods of giving an effect to the wishes of Government.

(A true Extract)

Recd 21 Decr JB

[signature]

Dy Secretary

Comp. Lhainbert 8th/17th

~~20 4 7~~ 1147 (165)

(158)

(163) Revenue Department.

335

Ri

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 19th December 1836.

Read the following letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 15th November 1836.

[Here enter No. 150]

The Board are of opinion that with the exception of the office of Curnum, the provisions of Regulation VI of 1831 are applicable to all Village Offices in settled as well as in unsettled Districts but not to the offices of religious Institutions in either one or the other.

(A true Extract)

Recd 30th Decr JB

[signature]

Secretary.

Compd. [signature]

— 5 1883

(159)

(164)

Revenue Department

To

The Collector of

Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826, to transmit for your information, an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of August last.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

R Porter

Depy Secretary.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
26 December 1836.

Recd 9th Jany

Madura

Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of August 1836.

| No. of the Petition | Name of the Petitioner | Purport of the Petitions | Orders of the Board |
|---|---|---|---|
| 825 of 1835 | From Ramasawmy Iyen Sabapaty Iyen and others residing at Ramaswarum in the Zillah of Madura | Complain that their Survamanium lands have been taxed contrary to usage — | Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Madura, who will dispose of his case as soon as practicable. 4th August, 1836. |

(True Extract)

R. Porter
Dy. Secretary

Recd 7th Jany 1837 JB

Compd. Lahambert

(167)

20 of 1167

338

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. (165) Madura Collectors

| Date. | Number. | From whom received. | Substance. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | of papers rela… certain clai… No 66 as reque… in his letter of … last. |
| | | Bd of Rev. | Extract fro… of the 25th Ja… authorize… to … the … you… Pens… |
| | | do | Gran… for con… [illegible] of Rs 60 p… out of the pension enjoyed by the late Ramaswamy [illegible] for the support of his Family to commence from the 1st instt and to be distributed in the manner proposed by the Pl Collr of Madura and recommended the Board and that in |

List of Retainable papers for 18 .

Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

| From whom received. | Substance. |
|---|---|
| | Sum of Rs 12 p. m. at present enjoyed by Muttovar Kulali Nachyar will cease from the said date |
| of Rev | Declining to admit the claim of Tungama Naick to succeed to the Zemindary of [illegible]ralacka Naicks [illegible] and directing registry of the property in the name of the widows of the deceased (Not found in the file) |
| | Communicating the resolution of Govt. under date the 29th Jany 36 relative to the claim preferred by Mungaleswari ammaul to the village of Auneyoor |

List of Retainable papers for 1

Received Letters. (169) Madura Collectors' Office.

| Number. | From whom received. | Substance. |
| --- | --- | --- |
| | | appertaining to the Ramna zemindary in the Madura Dt |
| " | Bd. of Rev | Relative to the collection of Revenue from Zemindar |
| 18/2 " | Do | Sanctioning the continuation of the 10 p.c commission to Baloo Mookunda Moodoo Ramasawmi Naid the heir of the late Zemind of Sokkumpatty (not fo in the file) |
| 22/2 " | Do | Stating that as the recommendation of the Board in favor of the widows of the former Zemindar of Shivaganga was founded on the supposition that they were destitute of mea which is now shewn to be incorrect there appears to be no occasion for inte ference on their behalf |
| 29/2 " | Do | Register of petitions f Jan 35 |
| 17/3 " | Do | Declining Sanction for ... priation of land for |

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

| Date. | Number. | From whom received. | Substance. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Support of two choultries at Yamookalapuram and Chittampatty because undertaken without previous permission. |
| 25/2 | | Bd of Reve | Transmitting for his information and guidance copy of para 19 of a letter from the Court of Directors d/12th August 35 and desiring him to submit his opinion as to the management of the Ramnad Zemindary in the report he is required to make as per instructions of the 25th Octr 35. |
| 31/2 | | Do | Transmitting for his information copy of a letter from Govt relative to the erection of a certain choultry from the proceeds of the village of Vellalap[illegible] with reference to his communication d/ 9th January 36. |

163

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. (158) (163) Madura Collectors' Office.

| Date. | Number. | From whom received. | Substance. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2nd 3rd | " | Bd of Revᵉ | Procgs on the settlement of the Land Revenue for fusli 1244. |
| 4 | " | Do | Transmitting a petition from Tangama Naick claiming to be put in possession of the Zemindary of Errasecknaickanoor and stating that if the widows of the late Zemindar agree to transfer the management to him, there does not appear to be any reasonable objection to a private arrangement of this nature (Not found in file). |
| April | " | Do | Granting authority for accepting the Surrender by the proprietor of the Ammanbary Zemindary and for assigning to him an allowance of 10 p.c. of the net revenue of the Estate and granting sanction for writing off the balance outstanding |

List of Retainable papers for 18 .

Received Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

| Date | Number. | From whom received. | Substance. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | against the zemindar amounting to Rs 4725-11- |
| 11/4 | " | — Do — | Transmitting copy of a letter from the Board with the orders of Govt thereon relative to the valuation of indigo and desiring the Ac Collr of Madras to reduce the Tariff valuation of that article to the present wholesale selling prices in common with the Collrs in Provinces. |
| 18/4 | " | — Do — | Authorising the Pl Collr to reject the claims of Ahmed Ali & also of Meer Mahomed Ibrahim and Peer Mahomed Ali to certain Yeomeahs. |
| 21/4 | " | Bd of Rev | Transmitting an Extract from a letter from the Supreme Govt and calling for further information respecting the revenue and charges of the Hindu and [illegible] |

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. (169) (171) Madura Collector

| Number. | From whom received. | Substance. |
| --- | --- | --- |
| | | Mahomedan religious Establishments and ... probable financial res... of the proposed measure of relinquishing all inte... ference with their revenue (not found in the file) |
| April " | — Do — | Deferring consideration of the propriety of appropriations of land for the support of new chatries at Gamookulapura and Chittampatty until the receipt of a reply from the Supreme Govt |
| 2/5 " | — Do — | Register of Petitions for Jany. 36 |
| May " | — Do — | Enquiring what would be the financial result of giving up all Pilgrim taxes offerings at Pagodas and other such-like sources of Revenue. |
| 9/5 " | — Do — | Min: Cons: on the settlement of the Land Revenue for ... |

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters.

Madura Collector's Office.

| Date | Number | From whom received | Substance |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| /5 | " | B^d of Rev | Requesting to be furnished with a copy of his letter of the 27^th Nov^r 35 relative to the claims of Muthiah Pillai & the Pundarom of Ramaswaram to the enjoyment of the Revenues of certain villages belonging to the late Mookunda Rowe. |
| /5 | " | Do | Calling for further information on the claims of the Zemindar of Sheva ganga for remission of the Moturpha Tax. |
| /5 | " | Do | Calling for sentiments upon the measure and on the best means of introducing the Moturpha Tax. |
| /5 | " | Do | Register of Petitions for February. |

165 | 165

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. (164) Madura Collectors' Office

| Date. | Number. | From whom received. | Substance. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 30/5 | " | Bd of Rev | Desiring the Pl Co[illegible] to place one or other [illegible] two widows of Thevaro[illegible] in possession whose c[illegible] shall appear to be pre[illegible] ferable and maintain her therein until a de[illegible] scion is passed by [illegible] Established court [illegible] application of the par[illegible] interested in the succe[illegible] |
| June | " | Bd of Rev | Authorising the propose[d] appropriation of the revenues of the villag[e] of Vellalapatty for [illegible] construction and end[ow]ment of a choultry [illegible] Samianellore in Ma[illegible] Daculom. |
| 6/6 | " | — Do — | Procgs on the Settlement of Land Rev[illegible] for fusli 1244. |
| 16/6 | " | Do | Postponing decisio[n] on the claims of the[illegible] Rajah till the inspe[illegible] |

List of Retainable papers for 18 .

Received Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

| Date | Number | From whom received | Substance |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | of the original Survey |
| 16 | " | Do | Register of Petitions for March |
| [illegible] | " | Do | Desiring him to take into immediate consideration the introduction of the Veesalamddey tax as laid down in Regⁿ IV of 1818 into his Dt. (Letter not found but the enclosures remain). |
| 11/7 | " | Bᵈ of Rev | Transmitting an Extract from a letter from the Hon'ble the Court of Directors and requesting to know what temples in his Dt claim a right to employ boats at the Ceylon Pearl fishery and the grounds on which their claims rest. |
| 11/7 | " | Do | Register of Petitions for April. |

-166-

List of Detainable papers for 18

ived Letters. (165) Madura Collectors' Office.

| ber. | From whom received. | Substance. |
|---|---|---|
| | Do of Revenue | sanctioning the continuance of a service Yeomeat from ~~the date~~ in the name of Abdool Rajak from the date of the last incumbent's death. |
| | Do | Transmitting an Extract from the Min: Con: of the 21st ultimo, and of a letter from the Supreme Govt and desiring him to submit his replies to the queries therein contained regarding the means of effecting such municipal improvements as may be necessary for the Security and comfort of populous and opulent towns under this Presidency |
| | | Confirming ... appoint... |

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

| Date. | Number. | From whom received. | Substance. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Tumburam to the super-intendence of the Devastom of Piranmalai &c in the Sheevaganga Zemindary and transmitting for the Pl Collr's report a petition from that person (not found in the file) |
| 8/8 | " | Bd Office | Authorising the Pl of Madura to grant waste lands for the support of two choultries built in the villages Yamakalapuram & Chittumpatty in the Dt and resolving that applications to build choultries should be submitted for the previous sanction of the Board of Revenue and |

167

List of Retainable papers for 18(64)

Received Letters. Madura Collectors' Office.

| Date. | Number. | From whom received. | Substance. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | built without such sanction will not be entitled to the support of Govt |
| [illegible] | " | X Bd of Rev | Returning for correction two statements submitted by him relative to the receipts and disbursements of the Hindu & Mahomedan religious institutions in his District (not found in the file) |
| | " | X Do | Sanctioning payment of Rs 11840-5-0 on account of the restriction from collection of the Moturpha Tax since fusli 1215 and the annual reduction of Peishcust of 3861-5-6 to the Sivagang Zemindary (not found in the file). |
| [illegible] | " | Do | Stating that in consequence of his long |

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

| Date | Number. | From whom received. | Substance. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | | | possession as well as by virtue of the [illegible] produced by the [illegible] dar's Son, Petitioner in No 499 of 86, he should be permitted to hold his garden free from the assessment introduced in similar lands in fusli 1245. |
| 22/8 | " | Bd of Rev | Approving of the arrangement, [illegible] in the Ol Collr's of the 6th instt, to be [illegible] in force for the man[illegible] gement of the affa[irs] of the Rameswar Devastanam and requesting him to [illegible] to the Board whe[n he] considers it prop[er] to restore the ma[na] gement [illegible] |

168

168

List of Retainable papers for 18

17

Received Letters. (175) (1618) Madura Collectors' Office.

| | From whom received. | Substance. |
|---|---|---|
| | | to the Cundarums |
| | Bd of Rev | Register of Petetions for may |
| | Do | Authorising the managemen of the Shevaganga Devastonam according to the rules proposed for the Rameswaram Devastonam (not found in the file) |
| | Do | Calling for further information on the Subject of Petition No 1094 of 36 from the curnams of certain Devastonams of Madura complaining of the resumption of their lands. |
| 8/9 | Do | Requesting to know whether the name of the last incumbent in Yeomeah No 67 in his Sl is Ryman Shahib at Ramzan Shaue. |

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

| Date. | Number. | From whom received. | Substance. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2/9 | " | Bd of Rev | Authorising the erection of a choultry at Deva dhanapatty by Annaji Raw with promise of lands for its support. |
| 19/9 | " | Do | Delaying orders on the subject of succession to the Guntamanaik Estate till receipt of promised report (Not found in the [illegible]) |
| 26/9 | " | Do | Requesting that the information called for regarding the claims advanced by certain temples to employment at the Ceylon Pearl Fishery may be submitted with all possible despatch. |
| 17/10 | " | Do | Register of Petitions for |

List of Retainable papers for 18 (1247) 168

Received Letters. Madura Collectors' Office.

| Number. | From whom received. | Substance. |
|---|---|---|
| | Bd of Rev | Granting authority renewing in the name of Madar Shaw the allowance of one cully fanam per diem enjoy by his late father Ramp Shaw (Yeomeahdar in No 67) from the date of the latter's death on the 17th June 36. |
| 10 | — Do — | Authorising payment of arrears of Moturpha to the Shivaganga Zemind (not found in the file) |
| 10 | — Do — | Procs on the settlement of Land Revenue for fusli 1245 (not found in the file). |
| | — Do — | Transmitting copy of a letter from the Secretary to the Medical Board and of the pamphlet which accompanied it regarding med[...] [...]graphic |

| Date. | Number. | From whom received. | Substance. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | the medical authori with any information he may be able on the subject. |
| Octr | " | Bd of Rev | Communicating in reply to his letter of the 21st Sepr 36 the orders passed on Petitions Nos 1094 and 1213 of 36 with reference to the Board's Procgs of the 6th instt |
| 10/11 | " | —— Do —— | Register of petitions for July |
| Decr | " | —— Do —— | Communicating observations and [illegible] on the Board's Procgs relative to the Settlement of Land Revenue of Madura for fusli 1246. |
| Decr | " | —— Do —— | Sanctioning the continuance of the allowance enjoyed by the late Zemindar of [illegible] to his br[illegible] |

List of Retainable papers for 18 (164)  .21

Received Letters. Madura Collectors' Office.

| Date. | Number. | From whom received. | Substance. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Gopala naickan from the date of the former's de[ath] |
| 12/12 | " | Bd of Rev | Approving of the decision passed in the case referred to in his letter of the 30th Novr. 36 on Petition No 1024 of 1835. |
| 15/12 | " | Do | Communicating to the several collectors copy of an Extract from the Min: Con under date the 17th Novr 36 relative to the suggestion of Dr Wright on the best [means] of introducing in this Country the cultivation of Sugar Cane in dry lands and requesting them to submit their opinion as to the best method of giving e[ffect] to the wishes of |

B 1-816

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

| | Number. | From whom received. | Substance. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 19/12 | a | B^d of Rev | stating that with the exception of the office of Curnom the provisions of Reg VI of 31 are applicable to all village officers in settled as well as in unsettled Districts but not to the offices of religious institutions in either the one or the other. |
| 25/12 | a | Do | Register of Petitions for August. |